NEEDHAM PUBLIC SCHOOLS



OFFICE OF FINANCIAL OPERATIONS
ANNE GULATI, ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT
1330 HIGHLAND AVENUE * NEEDHAM, MA 02492
781-455-0400 EXT. 206 * 781-455-0417 (FAX)

September 26, 2019

TO:

Needham School Committee

FROM:

Anne Gulati, Director of Financial Operations

RE:

Preliminary FY21-25 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Requests

Attached, please find proposed capital improvement plan requests from the School Department for FY21-25.

The five-year capital plan request is very similar to the prior year's submission and represents a "status quo" request in many respects. Several key studies related to school facilities (including the School Master Plan and the Emery Grover Feasibility Study) are still ongoing, the final recommendations from which will shape the scope and timeline of key school building projects. The equipment requests also are consistent with last year's submission, with a few minor alterations.

Highlights from the FY21-25 request are noted below:

Equipment:

- Copiers this request has been revised to add: four copiers to the replacement cycle in FY21-24, based on updated lifecycle replacement calculations, as well as a new fifth-year request.
- Furniture this request in unchanged in the first four years, and revised only to add a new fifth year.
- **Vehicles** the school replacement plan now includes the new technology technician van, which was purchased this past summer (FY30 replacement year)
- Document Management System this request has been removed from the CIP, and will be
 incorporated into the School Department's operational budget, either as a cloud-based or on-premises
 solution. Archival of historic documents will be done from available end-of-year operating budget
 savings, over the next 3-5 years.
- School Technology this request reflects the following changes:
 - The addition of \$150,000 to replace paging clocks/ aiphone technology over the next five years.
 - A \$60,000 reduction to the cost of the NHS TV studio replacement project, due to savings realized from using in-house versus outsourced construction, as well as efficient project coordination with the Needham Channel.
 - The addition of a new, fifth-year request.

Building Projects:

 Emery Grover Renovation, Mitchell School Renovation, Hillside Swing Space Renovation, Pollard School Improvements, Eliot Modular Classrooms - These projects all have been pushed back one year to reflect the new, anticipated completion of the School Master Plan study in June 2020, and the

A SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP THAT

CREATES EXCITED LEARNERS ~ INSPIRES EXCELLENCE ~ FOSTERS INTEGRITY

- Emery Grover study in April June, 2020, the final recommendations from which will shape the scope and budgets for these projects.
- School Master Plan Feasibility Study Project Placeholder this is a new, placeholder project in FY21, to implement the priority recommendation of the Master Plan.
- Eliot & Broadmeadow Technology Room Conversions Funding for both of these projects now is requested in FY21, to enable Public Facilities to bid and design both projects together. The construction schedule will remain staggered; Eliot still is assumed to open in September '21, with Broadmeadow following in September '22.
- Auditorium Needs Assessment (Study) Funding for this project has been re-requested in FY21. (It was not recommended for funding in FY20.)

Please contact me if you have questions or require additional information. The School Committee is scheduled to vote its FY21-25 capital plan request at its next meeting on October 15, 2019.

ALG/alg Attachment(s)

FY 2020/21 - 2024/25 Capital Improvement Program School Department CIP Requests	Funded FY20	Prior FY21	Req FY21	Prior FY22	Req FY22	Prior FY23	Req FY23	Prior FY24	Req FY24	Req FY25	Req FY21-25
Technology & Equipment Replacement		- 17									
School Copiers	52,470	37,600	62,420	73,990	67,130	41,200	57,650	57,650	82,920	85,920	356,040
School Furniture	35,000	35,000	35,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	135,000
School Vehicles	158,718	97,552	104,748	100,966	96,004	180,528	0	0	199,670	106,440	506,862
Document Management System	0	0	0	187,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
School Technology Request	632,350	556,575	586,575	490,150	490,150	422,000	452,000	395,750		651,550	2,656,025
Subtotal	878,538	726,727	788,743	877,806	678,284	668,728	534,650	478,400	783,340	868,910	3,653,927
Facilities Projects*	- 1										
School Master Plan Supplement	125,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
School Master Plan Feasibility Study Project Placeholder	0	0	650,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	650,000
Newman Preschool Playground Custom Shade Shelter	69,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broadmeadow School Technology Room Conversion	O	0	213,100		0	0	0	0	0	0	213,100
Eliot School Technology Room Conversion	0	179,300	179,300		0	0	0	0	0	0	179,300
Eliot School Modular Classrooms	0	556,700	0	3,481,200	601,300	0	3,759,600	0	9	0	4,360,900
NHS Athletic Locker Reconfiguration & Addition	50,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	50.000
Needs Assessment of Pollard, Newman and NHS Auditorium Theatrical Sound	0	0	60,000		0	0	0 100 200	0	0	0	60,000 30,744,900
Sustain Hillside School as Swing Space	0	3,922,800	100,000	24,452,100	4,236,600	U	26,408,300	0	120,824,100	0	121,474,100
Mitchell School Renovation	o	0	0	0	650,000	0	18,613,700	0	120,024,100	0	20,896,500
Renovate/Reconstruct Emery Grover Building at Highland Ave.	o	0	0	0	2,282,800	0	18,013,700	0	1 8	ŏ	0,050,500
Pollard School Renovation (F28-FY31)	244,200	4,658,800	1 202 400	28,146,400	7,770,700	0	48,781,600	o o	120,824,100	ŏ	178,578,800
	244,200	4,038,800	1,202,400	20,240,400	,,,,,,,,,	·	40,702,000	•	220,524,200		

^{*} Project costs reflect appropriation totals, rather than financing requirements

			S	chool Department Cap	oital Project Request			
Project Title:	School Copiers						Fiscal Year:	2021
Request Type:	Acquisition	Classification:	Equipment	Primary Purpose:	Public Education	Status:	Existing-Revised Proj	ect
Department:	Needham Public Schools	S		Useful Life:	More than 5 Years	Project Cost:		\$356,0
How was the Proje	ct Cost Determined:	Industry Reference	5	Budget Impact:	Negligible impact on the an	nual operating expenses less th	an \$5,000	
	i vagjaja s	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		<u>Parameters</u>			A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH	Response
1. Are there any co	sts to bid, design, constru	ct, purchase, install, i	mplement, or oth	erwise complete the pro	ject which are NOT included	is this request?	-	No
2. Are there recom	mendations or costs ident	ified by other depart	ments which are N	NOT factored into the re	quest?	-		No
3. Does this project	require any permitting by	y any Town or State a	gency?					No
4. If this request is	for Technology, has the De	epartment communi	ated with ITC, and	does ITC support the re	equest?			No
5. If this request is	for Building Improvement	s, has the Departmer	t communicated v	with the Building Mainte	nance (BM) division, and do	es BM support the request?		No
						communicated with DPW, and	does DPW support the	
request?								No
7. If funded, will th	e operating budget need t	o be increased to co	er operating expe	nses?				No
8. If funded, will th	s project lower the reque	sting Department's o	perating costs?					No
9. If funded, will th	s project require ongoing	assistance from vend	ors at an addition	al expense to the Town	which is NOT already budget	ed?		No
10. Is specialized tr	aining or annual licensing	required that the To	vn will need to pa	y in order to use the asse	et?	-		No
11. Is this a project	for which an Initial Eligibil	lity Project Applicatio	n can be filed with	the Community Preser	vation Committee (CPC)?			No
12. Is this a request	in response to a Court, Fe	ederal, or State orde	?					No
13. Is this a request	in response to a docume	nted public health or	safety condition?					No
14. Is this a request	to improve or make repa	irs to extend the use	ul life of a building	g?				No
15. Is this a request	to purchase apparatus/e	quipment that is inte	nded to be perma	nently installed at the lo	cation of its use?			No
16. Is this a request	to repair or otherwise im	prove public propert	y which is NOT a b	uilding or infrastructure	?			No
	lepartment be required to	•						No
	nis project increase the op		ny other departm	ent?				No
19. If funded, will a	dditional permanent staff	be required?						No
Total New FTE's:	0				<u>Project Descripti</u>	on and Considerations		
Project Budget Eler	nents	Project Budget						
Planning/Feasibility	•		In May of 20	03, Town Meeting a	authorized \$60,000 in fir	rst year funding to establis	sh a replacement cy	cle for school
Design/Engineering					iers are located in all the		,	
Land/ROW Acquisit	ion					dministrative and teachin	staff Teachers us	e the
Site Preparation			1	<u>-</u> -	▼	omework sheets, exams,	5 Juni. Teachers us	e the
Construction			Ti control of the con	•	in materials, including n	iomework sneets, exams,		
Construction Mana	gement		teaching pac	kets etc.				
Equipment		\$356,040						
Furniture, Fixtures,	and Equipment		For more inf	ormation, please se	e below.			
Technology								
Other Expenses			4					
TOTAL		\$356,040	1					

School Department Capital Project R	Request
-------------------------------------	---------

Proiect Title:

School Copiers

Fiscal Year:

2021

Supplemental Information

Currently the School Department owns 42 copy machines. The FY21-FY25 request replaces the following numbers of copy machines:

Fiscal Year	#of Copy Machines Replaced		
FY21 (Requested)	7	FY24 (Requested)	4
FY22 (Requested)	7	FY25 (Requested)	6
FY23 (Requested)	5		

Copier replacement is planned on a life-cycle basis, which projects when a copier should be replaced based on actual usage and the manufacturer's total estimated capacity. As a result, copiers which are heavily used, are replaced more frequently than copiers that are lightly used. The average life cycle is calculated at 7 years, although planned replacement ages range from 5 - 9 years, depending on use. (The baseline industry assumed lifepan for copiers is five years for a typical floor-standing copiers. At maximum, high-end copiers and floor standing models that are not used often may last up to 7-10 years.) It is important to replace these machines regularly, even if they have not yet reached maximum copy allowances, given the additional operating expense associated with servicing and maintaining older equipment, as well as the difficulty in obtaining replacement parts. This analysis also assumes that copiers are re-deployed around the District as needed, to better match projected usage with equipment capacity.

The request is revised from the prior year submission to include:

- * A new, fifth year replacement cycle (of \$85,920 for six copiers.)
- * The addition of four copiers in FY21-24, based on the updated life cycle replacement cycle calcuation, for a total of \$59,680.

The planned replacement cycle for the NPS copier fleet is detailed below:

l				Purchase	Age At												
Building	Location	Make	Model	Year	Repl	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25
Administration	2nd Floor	Konica	KM 658E	2018	6.00	21%	32%	43%	54%	64%	11%	-	-	-	-	12,740	-
Administration	Production Center	Konica	KM 1100	2020	6.00	REPL	10%	20%_	29%	39%	49%	23,084	-	-	-	•	-
Administration	1st Floor	Konica	KM 454E	2017	6.00	49%	64%	79%	94%	15%	30%	-	-	-	7,420	•	•
Administration	Production Center	XEROX	D125	2012	6.00	36%	54%	71%	89%	107%	18%	-	-	-	-	44,700	-
Broadmeadow	Teachers' Room	Konica	KM 808	2020	5.00	REPL	20%_	39%	59%	79%	98%	11,950	-	•	-	-	15,260
Broadmeadow	Main Offfce	Konica	KM 654E	2014	8.00	49%	58%	67%	9%	18%	27%	- 1	-	11,550	•	-	•
NHS	Main Office	Konica	KM 364E	2015	6.00	156%	167%	11%	22%	33%	44%	- 1	4,910	-	•	-	•
Broadmeadow	ETC	Konica	KM 227	2020	6.00	REPL	13%_	26%	40%	53%	66%	3,500	-	•	-	-	-
NHS	Athletics	Konica	KM 454E	2016	6.00	67%	84%	100%	16%_	33%	49%	.	-	7,070	-	-	-
NHS	Math/Sci Rm 205	Konica	KM 654E	2017	7.00	42%	58%	73%	89%	105%	16%		-	-	•	12,740	•
NHS	World Lang 704	Konica	KM 654E	2014	7.00	116%	133%	17%	35%	52%	69%	-	11,000	-	-	-	-
NHS	Health Office 607	Konica	KM 227	2020	7.00	REPL	5%	3%	5%	8%_	11%	4,249	-	-	•	-	-
NHS	Music	Konica	KM 654E	2016	9.00	26%	32%_	38%	44%	50%	56%	-	•	-	-	-	13,380
NHS	Guidance	Konica	KM 364E	2014	8.00	67%	75%	83%	32%	40%	48%	-	-	5,160	•	-	
NHS	Media Center	Konica	KM 454E	2014	8.00	75%	78%	81%	3%	6%	9%	-	-	7,070		-	-
NHS	Sped 801	Konica	KM 458E	2018	8.00	17%	25%	34%	42%	51%	59%	-	•	-	-	-	-
NHS	SS/English 703	Konica	KM 754E	2014	7.00	107%	118%	33%	44%	55%_	66%	-	12,550	•	-	•	-
NHS	Eng/SS	Konica	KM 808	2020	5.00	REPL	26%	53%	79%	106%	132%	11,950	-	-		-	15,260
NHS	Grade Level	Konica	KM 368E	2020	7.00	REPL	13%	27%	40%	53%	67%	4,675	•	-	-	-	-
NHS	Math/Sci	Konica	KM 808	2020	5.00	REPL	31%	63%	94%	125%	157%	11,950	-	•	-	-	15,260
Williams	Main Office	Konica	KM 458E	2019	7.00	REPL	8%	15%	23%	31%	39%	-		-	-	-	-

piect Title:	School Copiers													Fisc	al Year:		202
Williams	Downstairs	Konica	KM 458E	2019	7.00	REPL	10%	19%	29%	39%	48%	- 1	-	-	-		
Williams	Main Office	Konica	KM 658E	2019	5.00	REPL	24%	48%	73%	97%	121%	-	-	-	-	-	13,380
Mitchell	Back Hallway	Konica	KM 654E	2017	7.00	36%	49%	63%	76%	90%	14%	-	-		•	12,740	
Mitchell	Front Office	Konica	KM 654E	2014	7.00	128%	146%	18%	36%	54%	72%	-	11,000	-	-	-	-
Newman	Front Office	Konica	KM 458E	2020	6.00	REPL	15%	30%	46%	61%	76%	6,410	_	-	-	•	•
Newman	Hall Outside Office	Konica	KM 654E	2016	7.00	61%	75%	89%	104%	14%	29%	-	-	-	12,130	-	•
Newman	Hallway Near Café	Konica	KM 654E	2017	7.00	44%	61%	79%	96%	17%	35%	-	•	•	12,130	-	-
Newman	Down Stairs Hall	Konica	KM 558E	2018	7.00	30%	45%	59%	74%	89%	104%	-	-	-	•	-	13,380
Science Center	Science Center	Konica	KM 227	2020	7.00	REPL	5%	10%	14%	19%	24%	3,500	•	•	-	-	-
Pollard	8th Grade Hallway	Konica	KM 654E	2015	7.00	88%	108%	128%	20%	40%	60%	-	-	11,550	-	•	-
Pollard	Modulars	Konica	KM 754E	2014	8.00	46%	54%	62%	8%	16%	24%	-	•	13,180	-	-	-
Pollard	Main Office	Konica	KM 808	2020	7.00	REPL	17%	34%	51%	67%	84%	11,950	-	-	-	•	-
Pollard	7th Grade Work Area	Konica	KM 654E	2014	8.00	93%	103%	113%	10%	20%	30%	-	•	11,550	-	•	•
Pollard	Teachers Lounge	Konica	KM 454E	2017	5.00	75%	102%	26%	53%	79%	105%	-	6,730	-	-	•	-
High Rock	Room 207	Konica	KM 654E	2016	7.00	47%	58%	69%	80%	11%	22%	-	-	-	12,130	-	-
High Rock	Main Office	Konica	KM 754E	2014	7.00	104%	119%	16%	31%	47%	62%	•	12,550	-	-	-	-
Eliot	Main Office	Konica	KM 754E	2014	9.00	69%	77%	85%	93%	8%	16%	-	-	-	13,840	•	-
Eliot	Room 151	Konica	KM 658E	2018	8.00	17%	25%	34%	42%	50%	59%	-	•	-	-	•	-
Eliot	Room 210	Konica	KM 458E	2018	8.00	27%	40%	54%	67%	81%	94%	-	-	•	-	-	-
•	Main Office	XEROX	WC3550 X	2012	9.00	50%	58%	8%	16%	24%	32%	-	3,680	•	-	-	-
Administration	Production/Color	Konica	KM C3070L	2020	6.00	REPL	11%	21%	32%	42%	53%	36,772	-	-	-	-	-
							_	_	_								
Average Age Yo	ear of Replacement / Co	ount of Mac	hines	Average	6.88	11	7	7	5	4	6						
Totals												129,990	62,420	67,130	57,650	82,920	85,920

				ol Department Cap	oital Project Request				
Project Title:	School New and Re	eplacement Furni	ture				Fiscal Year:	202	:1
Request Type:	Acquisition	Classification:	Equipment	Primary Purpose:	Public Education	Status:	Existing Project		
Department:	Needham Public Sch	ools		Useful Life:	More than 20 Years	Project Cost:		\$13	35,000
How was the Proj	ect Cost Determined:	In-House Estimat	e	Budget Impact:	Negligible impact on the a	nnual operating expenses l	ess than \$5,000		
		:		<u>Parameters</u>	1			Respo	nse
1. Are there any o	osts to bid, design, co	onstruct, purchase,	install, implemer	nt, or otherwise com	plete the project which are	NOT included is this reques	st?	No	
2. Are there recor	nmendations or costs	s identified by other	r departments wi	hich are NOT factore	d into the request?			No	
3. Does this proje	ct require any permit	ting by any Town o	r State agency?					No	
4. If this request i	s for Technology, has	the Department co	mmunicated wit	h ITC, and does ITC s	upport the request?			No	
5. If this request i	s for Building Improve	ements, has the De	partment commu	inicated with the Bui	ilding Maintenance (BM) di	vision, and does BM suppo	rt the request?	No	
6. If this request i	s for a project involvir	ng design/construct	ion of public pro	perty other than bui	lding improvements, has th	e Department communicat	ed with DPW, and		
does DPW suppor	t the request?							No	
7. If funded, will t	he operating budget i	need to be increase	d to cover opera	ting expenses?				No	
8. If funded, will t	his project lower the	requesting Departn	nent's operating	costs?				No	
9. If funded, will t	his project require on	going assistance fro	om vendors at an	additional expense	to the Town which is NOT a	Iready budgeted?		No	
	training or annual lice					.		No	
				filed with the Comm	unity Preservation Commit	tee (CPC)?		No	:
	st in response to a Co							No	
	st in response to a do							No	
	st to improve or make							No	
					illed at the location of its us	e?		No	_
	st to repair or otherw							No	
	department be requi				ect?			No	
	this project increase			r department?				No	
	additional permanen	it staff be required?	r salah di daka					No	
Total New FTE's:					Project Description	and Considerations			
Project Budget Ele		Project Budget	In FY05 Town	Meeting approved fu	unding of \$20,500 to begin t	he replacement of furnitur	e in poor and fair co	ondition	
Planning/Feasibili					t. By FY15, all furniture in 'p				
Design/Engineeri	•				the replacement of furnitur		ird and provide fund	ding for ne	W
Land/ROW Acquis	sition		classroom furr	niture as needed. A l	breakdown of the funding re	equest is found below:			
Site Preparation			FY21: \$35,000	ı					
Construction Construction Mar					ement of furniture at Pollar	d Middle School in 'fair' cor	ndition		
	agement	\$135,000	# C25 000 +-		oom furniture as needed fo				
Equipment	s, and Equipment	\$133,000				·			
Technology	s, and Equipment		FY22 - FY25: \$			_			
Other Expenses			* \$25,000 to	purchase new classr	oom furniture as needed fo	r new enrollment or replac	ement purposes.		
TOTAL		\$135,000	1						
IOIAL		 	1						

Supplemental Information

The anticipated furniture replacement schedule is depicted below:

Funding Plan	Request FY21	Request FY22	Request FY23	Request FY24	Request FY25	Total
Pollard	\$10,000					\$10,000
New Classrooms	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	<u>\$125,000</u>
Total	\$35,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$135,000

									Aug-19	1		Aug-19	
Unit#	Division	Department	Vehicle Year	Age At Repl	Make/Model	Vehicle Type	Vin#	Reg	Mileage*	FY20 Request	FY20 Rec	FY20 Mileage	FY21 Request
Prod Ctr	Delivery	School Delivery	2018	10	Ford Transit Van	Cargo Van	1FTYR2XM3JKB37114	M2324A	2,757			2,757	
ETC	Delivery	School Delivery	2019	10	Ford Transit Van	Cargo Van	1FTYE1CM4KKA09865	M2853A	1,049			1,049	
Bus 14	Transportation	School Transportation	2012	7	IC SCHOOL BUS	School Bus	4DRBUSKM9CB608032 - New TBD	SBN25310	89,671	\$79,171	\$81,942	89,671	
Van 1	Transportation	School Transportation	2011	8	Ford E150 VAN	Passenger Van	1FMNE1BW9BDA90433 - New TBD	SPN11730	53,062	\$37,089	\$37,089	53,062	
Van 2	Transportation	School Transportation	2011	8	Ford E150 VAN	Passenger Van	1FMNE1BW0BDA90434 - New TBD	SPN11731	60,234	\$37,089	\$37,089	60,234	
Van 4	Transportation	School Transportation	2019	6	FORD Trasit 150	Passenger Van	1FMZK1ZM2KKB08460	SPN43225	119			119	
Van S	Transportation	School Transportation	2019	6	FORD Trasit 150	Passenger Van	1FMZK1ZM2KKB08459	SPN11732	211			211	
Van 7	Transportation	School Transportation	2012	8	FORD Ecovan E250	Passenger Van w Lift	1FTNE2EW8CDA21174	SPN100569	37,796			37,796	\$52,374
Van 8	Transportation	School Transportation	2013	7	FORD Ecovan E250	Passenger Van w Lift	1FTNE2EW6DDA63621	SPN43152	34,146			34,146	\$52,374
Van 9	Transportation	School Transportation	2014	7	Toyota Sienna	Passenger Van	5TDJK3DC6ES091980	SPN103086	20,611			20,611	
Van 10	Transportation	School Transportation	2015	6	Toyota Sienna	Passenger Van	STDJK3DCSFS095388	SPN100167	24,922			24,922	
Van 11	Transportation	School Transportation	2018	6	Ford Transit Van	Passenger Van	1FDZK1ZMXJKA87887	SPN108236	3,812			3,812	
Van 12	Transportation	School Transportation	2018	6	Ford Transit Van	Passenger Van	1FDZK1ZM8JKA87886	SPN108240	13,512			13,512	
Bus 1	Transportation	Transportation	2017	6	BLUE BIRD SCHOOL BUS	School Bus	1BAKGCPH6HF333727	SBN40166	39,156			39,156	
Bus 2	Transportation	Transportation	2017	6	BLUE BIRD SCHOOL BUS	School Bus	1BAKGCPH8HF333728	SBN40158	45,548			45,548	
										\$153,350	\$156,121	-	\$104,748

							Estimated		Estimated	,	Estimated	,	Estimated	1	Estimated
Unit#	Division	Department	Vehicle Year	Age At Repl	Make/Model	Vehicle Type	FY21 Mileage	FY22 Request	FY22 Mileage	FY23 Request	FY23 Mileage	FY24 Request	FY24 Mileage	FY25 Request	FY25 Mileage
Prod Ctr	Delivery	School Delivery	2018	10	Ford Transit Van	Cargo Van	6,532		9,799		13,065		16,331		19,597
ETC	Delivery	School Delivery	2019	10	Ford Transit Van	Cargo Van	3,266		6,532		9,799		13,065		16,331
Bus 14	Transportation	School Transportation	2012	7	IC SCHOOL BUS	School Bus	19,578		39,156		58,734		78,312		97,890
Van 1	Transportation	School Transportation	2011	8	Ford E150 VAN	Passenger Van	6,633		13,266		19,898		26,531		33,164
Van 2	Transportation	School Transportation	2011	8	Ford E150 VAN	Passenger Van	7,529		15,059		22,588		30,117		37,646
Van 4	Transportation	School Transportation	2019	6	FORD Trasit 150	Passenger Van	27,024		40,536		54,048		67,560		81,072
Van 5	Transportation	School Transportation	2019	6	FORD Trasit 150	Passenger Van	27,024		40,536		54,048		67,560		81,072
Van 7	Transportation	School Transportation	2012	8	FORD Ecovan £250	Passenger Van w Lift	43,195		5,399		10,799		16,198		21,598
Van 8	Transportation	School Transportation	2013	7	FORD Ecovan E250	Passenger Van w Lift	39,837		4,878		9,756		14,634		19,512
Van 9	Transportation	School Transportation	2014	7	Toyota Sienna	Passenger Van	24,733	\$48,002	28,855		4,122		8,244		12,367
Van 10	Transportation	School Transportation	2015	6	Toyota Sienna	Passenger Van	29,906	\$48,002	34,891		4,984		9,969		14,953
Van 11	Transportation	School Transportation	2018	6	Ford Transit Van	Passenger Van	7,624		11,436		15,248		19,060	\$53,220	22,872
Van 12	Transportation	School Transportation	2018	6	Ford Transit Van	Passenger Van	27,024		40,536		54,048		67,560	\$53,220	81,072
Bus 1	Transportation	Transportation	2017	6	BLUE BIRD SCHOOL BUS	School Bus	58,734		78,312		97,890	\$99,835	117,468		19,578
Bus 2	Transportation	Transportation	2017	6	BLUE BIRD SCHOOL BUS	School Bus	68,322		91,096		113,870	\$99,835	136,644		22,774
								\$96,004	-	\$0	•	\$199,670	-	\$106,440	•

						,	•	Estimated		Estimated		Estimated		Estimated	
Unit#	Division	Department	Vehicle Year	Age At Repl	Make/Model	Vehicle Type	FY26	FY26 Mileage	FY27	FY27 Mileage	FY28	FY28 Mileage	FY29	FY29 Mileage	
Prod Ctr	Delivery	School Delivery	2018	10	Ford Transit Van	Cargo Van		22,863		26,129		29,396	\$47,836	32,662	
ETC	Delivery	School Delivery	2019	10	Ford Transit Van	Cargo Van		19,597		22,863		26,129		29,396	Replace FY
Bus 14	Transportation	School Transportation	2012	7	IC SCHOOL BUS	School Bus		117,468	\$110,688	137,046		19,578		39,156	
Van 1	Transportation	School Transportation	2011	8	Ford E150 VAN	Passenger Van		39,797	\$57,011	46,429		6,633		13,266	
Van 2	Transportation	School Transportation	2011	8	Ford E150 VAN	Passenger Van		45,176	\$57,011	52,705		7,529		15,059	
Van 4	Transportation	School Transportation	2019	6	FORD Trasit 150	Passenger Van	\$55,083	94,584		13,512		27,024		40,536	Mileage Es
Van 5	Transportation	School Transportation	2019	6	FORD Trasit 150	Passenger Van	\$55,083	94,584		13,512		27,024		40,536	Mileage Es
Van 7	Transportation	School Transportation	2012	8	FORD Ecovan E250	Passenger Van w Lift		21,598		32,397	66,634	37,796		5,399	
Van 8	Transportation	School Transportation	2013	7	FORD Ecovan E250	Passenger Van w Lift		19,512		29,268	66,634	34,146		4,878	
Van 9	Transportation	School Transportation	2014	7	Toyota Sienna	Passenger Van		16,489		20,611		24,733		28,855	
Van 10	Transportation	School Transportation	2015	6	Toyota Sienna	Passenger Van		19,938		24,922		29,906		34,891	
Van 11	Transportation	School Transportation	2018	6	Ford Transit Van	Passenger Van		3,812		7,624		11,436		15,248	
Van 12	Transportation	School Transportation	2018	6	Ford Transit Van	Passenger Van		13,512		27,024		40,536		54,048	
Bus 1	Transportation	Transportation	2017	6	BLUE BIRD SCHOOL BUS	School Bus		39,156		58,734		78,312		97,890	
Bus 2	Transportation	Transportation	2017	6	BLUE BIRD SCHOOL BUS	School Bus		45,548		68,322		91,096		113,870	
							\$110,166	-	\$224,710	-	\$133,268	-	\$47,836	•	

Unit#	Division	Department	Vehicle Year	Age At Repl	Make/Model	Vehicle Type	
Prod Ctr	Delivery	School Delivery	2018	10	Ford Transit Van	Cargo Van	
ETC	Delivery	School Delivery	2019	10	Ford Transit Van	Cargo Van	30 \$57,733
Bus 14	Transportation	School Transportation	2012	7	IC SCHOOL BUS	School Bus	
Van 1	Transportation	School Transportation	2011	8	Ford E150 VAN	Passenger Van	
Van 2	Transportation	School Transportation	2011	8	Ford E150 VAN	Passenger Van	
Van 4	Transportation	School Transportation	2019	6	FORD Trasit 150	Passenger Valideage E	stimated (Based on Van 12)
Van 5	Transportation	School Transportation	2019	6	FORD Trasit 150	Passenger Walieage E	stimated (Based on Van 12)
Van 7	Transportation	School Transportation	2012	8	FORD Ecovan E250	Passenger Van w Lift	
Van 8	Transportation	School Transportation	2013	7	FORD Ecovan E250	Passenger Van w Lift	
Van 9	Transportation	School Transportation	2014	7	Toyota Sienna	Passenger Van	
Van 10	Transportation	School Transportation	2015	6	Toyota Sienna	Passenger Van	
Van 11	Transportation	School Transportation	2018	6	Ford Transit Van	Passenger Van	
Van 12	Transportation	School Transportation	2018	6	Ford Transit Van	Passenger Van	
Bus 1	Transportation	Transportation	2017	6	BLUE BIRD SCHOOL BUS	School Bus	
Bus 2	Transportation	Transportation	2017	6	BLUE BIRD SCHOOL BUS	School Bus	

		Vehicle Request			
	Current Vehicle	Reque	sted Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2024	2022	\$93,197
Unit #	Bus 1	Funding Amount	\$99,835	2023	\$96,458
Year	2017	Vehicle Type	School Bus	2024	\$99,835
Make	Blue Bird	Make	Blue Bird	2025	\$103,329
Model	School Bus	Model	71 Passenger School Bus	2026	\$106,945
Fuel Type	Diesel	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$87,000	2027	\$110,688
Plate #	SBN 40158	Blue Bird/71 Passenger Sc	hool Bus	2028	\$114,562
VIN	1BAKGCPH8HF333728	SP		2029	\$118,572
License	Class D	S		2030	\$122,722
Mileage	45,548	s		2031	\$127,017
Hours	5-7 Hours	s		2032	\$131,463
Reading Date	13-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$136,064
Expiration		ос		2034	\$140,826
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	s		2035	\$145,755
Primary Functions		s		2036	\$150,857
Transportation of school pupils to/from school.		s		2037	\$156,137
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$161,602
	-		\$87,000	2039	\$167,258
		Vehicle cost for>	2020		

This request is to replace a school bus, which is used to transport students between home and school. The vehicle is operated by a staff driver. If not replaced, the District would have to contract for these services at considerable additional cost.

		Vehicle Request CIP-VR			
	Current Vehicle	Reque	sted Vehicle	Estimated Co	st by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2024	2022	\$93,197
Unit#	Bus 2	Funding Amount	\$99,835	2023	\$96,458
Year	2017	Vehicle Type	School Bus	2024	\$99,835
Make	Blue Bird	Make	Blue Bird	2025	\$103,329
Model	School Bus	Model	71 Passenger School Bus	2026	\$106,945
Fuel Type	Diesel	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$87,000	2027	\$110,688
Plate #	SBN 40166	Blue Bird/71 Passenger Sch	nool Bus	2028	\$114,562
VIN	1BAKGCPH8HF333727	SP		2029	\$118,572
License	Class D	S		2030	\$122,722
Mileage	39,156	S		2031	\$127,017
Hours	5-7 Hours	S		2032	\$131,463
Reading Date	12-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$136,064
Expiration		ос		2034	\$140,826
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	S		2035	\$145,755
	Primary Functions	S		2036	\$150,857
Transportation of school	pupils to/from school.	S		2037	\$156,137
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$161,602
			\$87,000	2039	\$167,258
	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	* Vehicle cost for>	2020		

This request is to replace a school bus, which is used to transport students between home and school. The vehicle is operated by a staff driver. If not replaced, the District would have to contract for these services at considerable additional cost.

	Current Vehicle	Reque	sted Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Yéar	2027	2022	\$93,197
Unit#	Bus 14	Funding Amount	\$110,688	2023	\$96,458
Year	2019	Vehicle Type	School Bus	2024	\$99,835
Make	TBD	Make	Blue Bird	2025	\$103,329
Model	School Bus	Model	71 Passenger School Bus	2026	\$106,945
Fuel Type	Diesel	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$87,000	2027	\$110,688
Plate#	TBD	Blue Bird/71 Passenger Sci	rool Bus	2028	\$114,562
VIN .	TBD	SP		2029	\$118,572
License	Class D	s		2030	\$122,722
Mileage	TBD	s		2031	\$127,017
Hours	5-7 Hours	s		2032	\$131,463
Reading Date	12-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$136,064
Expiration	700 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	ОС		2034	\$140,826
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	s		2035	\$145,755
	Primary Functions	s		2036	\$150,857
Transportation of school pupils to/from school.		s		2037	\$156,137
		Subtotal	\$ 0	2038	\$161,602
		Total Current Cost	\$87,000	2039	\$167,258

This request is to replace a school bus, which is used to transport students between home and school. The vehicle is operated by a staff driver. If not replaced, the District would have to contract for these services at considerable additional cost.

The current bus #14 will be replaced in FY20 with the above TBD vehicle. This request is for the anticipated replacement of the successor vehicle in FY27.

		Vehicle Request CIP-VR			
	Current Vehicle	Reques	ted Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2027	2022	\$48,002
Unit#	Van 1	Funding Amount	\$57,011	2023	\$49,682
Year	2020	Vehicle Type	Passenger Van	2024	\$51,420
Make	TBD	Make	Ford	2025	\$53,220
Model	TBD	Model	Transit K1Y 150 Low	2026	\$55,083
Fuel Type	Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$44,810	2027	\$57,011
Plate #	TBD	Ford/Transit K1Y 150 Low		2028	\$59,006
VIN	TBD	SP		2029	\$61,071
License	Class D	S		2030	\$63,209
Mileage		s		2031	\$65,421
Hours	6-8 Hours	S		2032	\$67,711
Reading Date	9-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$70,081
Expiration		ос		2034	\$72,534
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	s		2035	\$75,072
	Primary Functions	s		2036	\$77,700
Transportation of Special Education students to/from School.		S		2037	\$80,419
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$83,234
		Total Current Cost	\$44,810	2039	\$86,147
		* Vehicle cost for>	2020		

This request is to replace a mission-critical pupil transportation vehicle (lift-equipped) currently used by the School Department to transport special needs students between home and school. The vehicle is operated by a staff driver. If not replaced, the District would have to contract for these services at considerable additional cost. This vehicle is in the process of being replaced in FY20.

The current Van 1 will be replaced in FY20. This request is to replace the successor vehicle in FY27.

		Vehicle Request CIP-VR			
	Current Vehicle	Reques	sted Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2027	2022	\$48,002
Unit#	Van 2	Funding Amount	\$57,011	2023	\$49,682
Year	202	0 Vehicle Type	Passenger Van	2024	\$51,420
Make	TBD	Make	Ford	2025	\$53,220
Model	TBD	Model	Transit K1Y 150 Low	2026	\$55,083
Fuel Type	Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$44,810	2027	\$57,011
Plate #	TBD	Ford/Transit K1Y 150 Low		2028	\$59,006
VIN	TBD	SP		2029	\$61,071
License	Class D	5		2030	\$63,209
Mileage		S		2031	\$65,421
Hours	6-8 Hours	S		2032	\$67,711
Reading Date	9-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$70,081
Expiration		oc		2034	\$72,534
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	S		2035	\$75,072
	Primary Functions	S		2036	\$77,700
Transportation of Special Education students to/from School.		S		2037	\$80,419
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$83,234
			\$44,810	2039	\$86,147
		* Vehicle cost for>	2020		

This request is to replace a mission-critical pupil transportation vehicle (lift-equipped) currently used by the School Department to transport special needs students between home and school. The vehicle is operated by a staff driver. If not replaced, the District would have to contract for these services at considerable additional cost. This vehicle is in the process of being replaced in FY20.

The current Van 2 will be replaced in FY20. This request is to replace the successor vehicle in FY27.

		Vehicle Request			
	Current Vehicle		sted Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2026	2022	\$48,002
Unit#	Van 4	Funding Amount	\$55,083	2023	\$49,682
Year	2019	Vehicle Type	Passenger Van	2024	\$51,420
Make	Ford	Make	Ford	2025	\$53,220
Model	Transit 150	Model	Transit K1Y 150 Low	2026	\$55,083
Fuel Type	Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$44,810	2027	\$57,011
Plate #	SPN109028	Ford/Transit K1Y 150 Low		2028	\$59,006
VIN	1FMKZ1ZM6KKB08460	SP		2029	\$61,071
License	Class D	s		2030	\$63,209
Mileage	119	S		2031	\$65,421
Hours	6-8 Hours	s		2032	\$67,711
Reading Date	9-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$70,081
Expiration		ос		2034	\$72,534
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	s		2035	\$75,072
	Primary Functions	S		2036	\$77,700
Transportation of Special Education students to/from School.		S		2037	\$80,419
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$83,234
		Total Current Cost	\$44,810	2039	\$86,147
		* Vehicle cost for>	2020		

		Vehicle Request CIP-VR			
	Current Vehicle	Reques	sted Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2026	2022	\$48,002
Unit#	Van 5	Funding Amount	\$55,083	2023	\$49,682
Year	2019	Vehicle Type	Passenger Van	2024	\$51,420
Make	Ford	Make	Ford	2025	\$53,220
Model	Transit 150	Model	Transit K1Y 150 Low	2026	\$55,083
Fuel Type	Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$44,810	2027	\$57,011
Plate #	SPN109029	Ford/Transit K1Y 150 Low		2028	\$59,006
VIN	1FMKZ!ZM6KKB08459	SP		2029	\$61,071
License	Class D	s		2030	\$63,209
Mileage	211	s		2031	\$65,421
Hours	6-8 Hours	s		2032	\$67,711
Reading Date	9-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$70,081
Expiration	- 1	ос		2034	\$72,534
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	s		2035	\$75,072
	Primary Functions	s		2036	\$77,700
Transportation of Special Education students to/from School.		s		2037	\$80,419
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$83,234
		Total Current Cost	\$44,810	2039	\$86,147
		* Vehicle cost for>	2020		

		Vehicle Request CIP-VR			
	Current Vehicle	Reque	sted Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2021	2022	\$54,207
Unit#	Van 7	Funding Amount	\$52,374	2023	\$56,104
Year	201	2 Vehicle Type		2024	\$58,068
Make	Ford	Make	Ford	2025	\$60,100
Model	Ecovan	Model	Transit 150 E1C MR	2026	\$62,204
Fuel Type	Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$50,603	2027	\$64,381
Plate #	SPN 100569	Ford/Transit 150 E1C MR		2028	\$66,634
VIN	1FTNE2EW8CDA21174	Wheel Chair Lift (Cost Inclu	ded)	2029	\$68,967
License	Class D	s		2030	\$71,381
Mileage	37,796	s		2031	\$73,879
Hours	6-8 Hours	S		2032	\$76,465
Reading Date	9-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$79,141
Expiration		ос		2034	\$81,911
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	s		2035	\$84,778
	Primary Functions	S		2036	\$87,745
Transportation of Specia	Transportation of Special Education students to/from School.			2037	\$90,816
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$93,995
		Total Current Cost	\$50,603	2039	\$97,284
		* Vehicle cost for>	2020		

	Vehicle Request	Jaka and a the sale
Current Vehicle	CIP-VR Réquested Véhicle	Estimated Cost by Fiscal Year
Assigned School	Funding Year 2021	2022 \$54,207
Unit#	Funding Amount \$52,374	2023 \$56,104
Year 201	3 Vehicle Type Passenger Van	2024 \$58,068
Make Ford	Make	2025 \$60,100
Model Ecovan	Model Transit 150 E1C MR	2026 \$62,204
Fuel Type Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle* \$50,603	2027 \$64,381
Plate# SPN 43152	Ford/Transit 150 E1C MR	2028 \$66,634
VIN 1FTNE2EW6DDA63621	Wheel Chair Lift (Cost Included)	2029 \$68,967
License Class D	s	2030 S71,381
Mileage 34,146	s	2031 \$73,879
Hours 6-8 Hours	s	2032 \$76,465
Reading Date 9-Aug-19	Subtotal \$0	2033 \$79,141
Expiration	oc	2034 \$81,911
Disposal Intentions Auction/Trade	s	2035 \$84,778
Primary Functions	s	2036 \$87,745
Transportation of Special Education students to/from School.	s	2037 \$90,816
	Subtotal \$0	2038 \$93,995
	Total Current Cost \$50,603	2039 \$97,284
	*Vehicle cost for> 2020	

		Vehicle Request CIP-VR			
	Current Vehicle	Reque	sted Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2022	2022	\$48,002
Unit#	Van 9	Funding Amount	\$48,002	2023	\$49,682
Year	2014	Vehicle Type	Passenger Van	2024	\$51,420
Make	Toyota	Make	Ford	2025	\$53,220
Model	Sienna	Model	Transit K1Y 150 Low	2026	\$55,083
Fuel Type	Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$44,810	2027	\$57,011
Plate #	SPN 103086	Ford/Transit K1Y 150 Low		2028	\$59,006
VIN	5TDJK3DC6ES091980	SP		2029	\$61,071
License	Class D			2030	\$63,209
Mileage	20,611	S		2031	\$65,421
Hours	6-8 Hours	s		2032	\$67,711
Reading Date	9-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$70,081
Expiration		oc.		2034	\$72,534
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	s		2035	\$75,072
	Primary Functions	s		2036	\$77,700
Transportation of Special Education students to/from School.		s	144	2037	\$80,419
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$83,234
		Total Current Cost	\$44,810	2039	\$86,147
		* Vehicle cost for>	2020		

	urrent Vehicle		sted Vehicle	Estimated Cost by F	iscal Voor
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2022	2022	\$48,002
Unit #	Van 10	WARREN CARREST CO.	\$48,002	2023	\$49,682
Year	201	.5 Vehicle Type	Passenger Van	2024	\$51,420
Make	Toyota	Make	Ford	2025	\$53,220
Model .	Sienna	Model	Transit K1Y 150 Low	2026	\$55,083
Fuel Type	Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$44,810	2027	\$57,011
Plate #	SPN 100167	Ford/Transit K1Y 150 Low		2028	\$59,006
VIN TO THE REPORT OF THE PERSON OF THE PERSO	5TDJK3DC5FS095388	SP		2029	\$61,071
license	Class D	s	N. C.	2030	\$63,209
Mileage .	24,922	5		2031	\$65,421
Hours	6-8 Hours	s		2032	\$67,711
Reading Date	9-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$70,081
Expiration		ос		2034	\$72,534
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	s		2035	\$75,072
Pr	imary Functions	s		2036	\$77,700
Transportation of Special Education students to/from School.		s		2037	\$80,419
		Subtotal	50	2038	\$83,234
		Total Current Cost	\$44,810	2039	\$86,147

		Vehicle Request			
	Current Vehicle		sted Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2025	2022	\$48,002
Unit#	Van 11	Funding Amount	\$53,220	2023	\$49,682
Year	201	8 Vehicle Type		2024	\$51,420
Make	Ford	Make	Ford	2025	\$53,220
Model	Transit	Model	Transit K1Y 150 Low	2026	\$55,083
Fuel Type	Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$44,810	2027	\$57,011
Plate #	SPN108236	Ford/Transit K1Y 150 Low		2028	\$59,006
VIN	1FDZK1ZMXJKA87887	SP		2029	\$61,071
License	Class D	S		2030	\$63,209
Mileage	3,812	s		2031	\$65,421
Hours	6-8 Hours	s		2032	\$67,711
Reading Date	9-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$70,081
Expiration		oc		2034	\$72,534
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	S		2035	\$75,072
	Primary Functions	S		2036	\$77,700
Transportation of Special Education students to/from School.		S		2037	\$80,419
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$83,234
		Total Current Cost	\$44,810	2039	\$86,147
		* Vehicle cost for>	2020		

		Vehicle Request CIP-VR			
ATTEMPT OF THE STATE OF THE STA	Current Vehicle	Reques	ted Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2025	2022	\$48,002
Unit#	Van 12	Funding Amount	\$53,220	2023	\$49,682
Year	2018	Vehicle Type	Passenger Van	2024	\$51,420
Make	Ford	Make	Ford	2025	\$53,220
Model	Transit	Model	Transit K1Y 150 Low	2026	\$55,083
Fuel Type	Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$44,810	2027	\$57,011
Plate #	SPN108240	Ford/Transit K1Y 150 Low		2028	\$59,006
VIN	1FDZK1ZM8JKA87886	SP		2029	\$61,071
License	Class D	S		2030	\$63,209
Mileage	13,512	s		2031	\$65,421
Hours	6-8 Hours	s		2032	\$67,711
Reading Date	9-Aug-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$70,081
Expiration		ос		2034	\$72,534
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	S		2035	\$75,072
	Primary Functions	S		2036	\$77,700
Transportation of Specia	l Education students to/from School.	S		2037	\$80,419
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$83,234
		Total Current Cost	\$44,810	2039	\$86,147
		* Vehicle cost for>	2020		

	Current Vehicle	Reque	sted Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2030	2022	\$43,843
Unit#	ETC Van 600	Funding Amount	\$57,733	2023	\$45,378
Year	2019	Vehicle Type	Van	2024	\$46,966
Make	Ford	Make	Ford	2025	\$48,610
Model	Transit	Model	Transit T250 High Roof	2026	\$50,311
Fuel Type	Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$40,928	2027	\$52,072
Plate#	M2853A	Ford/Transit T250 High Roo	of	2028	\$53,894
VIN	1FTYE1CM4KKA09865	SP		2029	\$55,781
License	Class D	s		2030	\$57,733
Mileage	1,049	s		2031	\$59,754
Hours	7 Hours	s		2032	\$61,845
Reading Date	6-Sep-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$64,010
Expiration		ос		2034	\$66,250
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	S		2035	\$68,569
	Primary Functions	S		2036	\$70,969
Interoffice/ Interbuilding	; mail and delivery van.	S		2037	\$73,452
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$76,023
		Total Current Cost	\$40,928	2039	\$78,684

This request is to replace the school department's ETC (computer technician) van. The vehicle is operated by a staff driver. If not replaced, the District would have to contract for these services at considerable additional cost.

		GP-VR			nggu fije
	Current Vehicle	Request	ed Vehicle	Estimated Cos	t by Fiscal Year
Assigned	School	Funding Year	2029	2022	\$37,599
Unit#	Production Center Van 601	Funding Amount	\$47,836	2023	\$38,915
Year	2018	Vehicle Type	Van	2024	\$40,277
Make	Ford	Make	Ford	2025	\$41,687
Model	Transit	Model	Transit T250 High Roof	2026	\$43,146
Fuel Type	Gasoline	Current Cost of Vehicle*	\$35,099	2027	\$44,656
Plate#		Ford/Transit T250 High Roof		2028	\$46,219
VIN.	1FTYR2XM3JKB37114	SP		2029	\$47,836
icense	Class D	s		2030	\$49,511
Villeage	2,757	S		2031	\$51,243
Hours	2 Hours	s		2032	\$53,037
Reading Date	6-Sep-19	Subtotal	\$0	2033	\$54,893
Expiration		ос		2034	\$56,815
Disposal Intentions	Auction/Trade	s		2035	\$58,803
	Primary Functions	s		2036	\$60,861
Interoffice/ Interbuilding	mail and delivery van.	s		2037	\$62,993
		Subtotal	\$0	2038	\$65,196
		Total Current Cost	\$35,099	2039	\$67,478

This request is to replace the school department's Production Center/ Mail Room Delivery van. The vehicle is operated by a staff driver. If not replaced, the District would have to contract for these services at considerable additional cost.

			S	chool Department Cap	oital Project Request			
Project Title:	School Department	Technology Reques	t				Fiscal Year:	
Request Type:	Acquisition	Classification:	Technology	Primary Purpose:	Public Education	Status:	Existing-Revised Pro	oject
Department:	Needham Public School			Useful Life:	More than 5 Years	Project Cost:		\$2,656,025
At the same of the same	ct Cost Determined:	Industry Reference	es	Budget Impact:	Negligible impact on the an	nual operating expenses less th	an \$5,000	
				<u>Parameters</u>				Response
1. Are there any co	sts to bid, design, constr	uct, purchase, install,	implement, or oth	erwise complete the pro	ject which are NOT included	is this request?		No
				NOT factored into the re-				No
	require any permitting l					-		No
	<u></u>			d does ITC support the re	equest?			Yes
					nance (BM) division, and do	es BM support the request?		No
						communicated with DPW, and	does DPW support the	
request?		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•	,		Not Applicable
7. If funded, will th	e operating budget need	to be increased to co	ver operating expe	enses?				No
8. If funded, will th	is project lower the requ	esting Department's	operating costs?				******	No
9. If funded, will th	is project require ongoin	g assistance from ver	dors at an additior	nal expense to the Town	which is NOT already budget	ed?		No
				y in order to use the ass				No
11. Is this a project	for which an Initial Eligib	oility Project Applicati	on can be filed wit	h the Community Preser	vation Committee (CPC)?			No
12. Is this a reques	in response to a Court,	Federal, or State orde	er?					No
13. Is this a reques	in response to a docum	ented public health o	r safety condition?					No
	t to improve or make rep							No
				enently installed at the lo				No
				building or infrastructure	?		 	No
17. Will any other	department be required	to provide assistance	in order to comple	te the project?				No
18. If funded, will t	his project increase the o	operating expense for	any other departn	nent?				No
19. If funded, will a	dditional permanent sta	ff be required?				·····		No
Total New FTE's:	0				Project Descript	on and Considerations		
Project Budget Ele	ments	Project Budget	The FY21-25	S CIP request funds t	the purchase of School [Department technology, ir	ncluding desktop co	mputers,
Planning/Feasibilit	1		l l	•	•	tructional labs, projectors	•	•
Design/Engineerin	=		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•	incorporates funding for		•
Land/ROW Acquisi	tion					nfrastructure, data cabling		
Site Preparation			B	•		standard and the decision	•	•
Construction			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Construction Mana	igement			•	_	, or DLDs, and staff laptop	s) to the operating	naaget. A
Equipment Furniture, Fixtures	and Fauinment		cnart summ	iarizing the five-year	(FY21-25) request is inc	Juueu Delow.		
Technology	, and Equipment	\$2,656,0	25	·				
Other Expenses		72,050,0	See below f	or additional inform	ation.			
TOTAL		\$2,656,02	리					

School D	epartment	Capital	Project	Request

Project Title:

School Department Technology Request

Fiscal Year:

Supplemental Information

The FY21-25 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for school technology totals \$2,656,025 and includes \$2,091,025 for school hardware replacement and \$565,000 for school technology infrastructure. The request is similar to the prior submission, with the following exceptions:

- * \$651,550 in new, fifth-year (FY25) technology purchases, including \$538,550 for hardware replacement and \$113,000 for technology infrastructure.
- * The addition of \$120,000 to replace paging clocks/ aiphone technology in the first four years of the plan (FY21-24.) (The total request across all five years is \$150,000.)
- * A \$60,000 reduction to the cost of NHS TV studio equipment replacement in the first four years of the plan (FY21-24), reflecting cost savings realized from in-house versus outsourced construction and project coordination with Needham Channel.

The FY21 request is for \$586,575, an increase of \$30,000 from the FY21 projection included in the FY20-24 CIP. The \$586,575 total request includes \$473,575 for hardware and \$113,000 for infrastructure replacement. The FY21 request is unchanged from the prior year, with the exception of the following:

* The addition of \$30,000 to replace paging clocks/ aiphone technology.

The FY22-25 CIP increases \$761,550 over the prior CIP, to add a new fifth year to the plan (@ \$651,550) and provide \$110,000 in net new funding during the first four years for the following items:

- * The addition of \$90,000 to replace paging clocks/ aiphone technology in FY22-24, which is offset by a \$60,000 reduction in the cost of NHS TV studio equipment replacement, for a net additional expense of \$30,000.
- * \$32,000 in additional funds to support replacement of the Pollard Lecture Hall projector in FY24.
- * \$48,000 in additional funds to support classroom AV/projector replacement in FY24 at the Mitchell (\$18,000) and NHS (\$30,000.)

Parameters Addressed:

Technology: The School Technology department supports this request.

A detailed breakout of changes from the prior year's FY21-24 request is highlighted below.

Summary	FY21	FY21	FY21	FY22	FY22	FY22	FY23	FY23	FY23	FY24	FY24	FY24	FY25	FY21-25
All Hardware (Including New Requests)	Prior	New	Change	Prior	Now	Change	Prior	New	Change	Prior	New	Change	New	Total
Lab Computers	202,500	202,500		162,000	162,000	-	162,000	162,000	-	40,500	40,500	-	46,000	613,000
Science Lab Computers	-	-	-	•	-		-	•	- '	•	•	•	54,000	54,000
TV Studio Computers	-	•	- 1	•	-	-	36,000	36,000	-	•	-	•	-	36,000
Graphics Lab Computers	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	44,000	44,000	-	-	44,000
Destop Computers	106,500	106,500	- 1	21,000	21,000	-	21,000	21,000	-	21,000	21,000	-	116,000	285,500
Printers	20,075	20,075	- 1	37,650	37,650	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	22,550	80,275
Classroom AV/ Projection	80,000	80,000	-	110,000	110,000	-	90,000	90,000	-	80,000	128,000	48,000	240,000	648,000
Gym/ Performance Center Projection /Screen		•	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	18,000	50,000	32,000		50,000
NHS TV Studio	30,000	30,000	-	30,000	•	(30,000)	•	-	-	30,000	-	(30,000)	30,000	60,000
Paging Clocks Aiphone	•	30,000	30,000		30,000	30,000	-	30,000	30,000	-	30,000	30,000	30,000	150,000
Security Cameras	4,500	4,500		16,500	16,500	-	-	:		49,250	49,250		-	70,250
Subtotal	443,575	473,575	30,000	377,150	377,150	•	309,000	339,000	30,000	282,750	382,750	80,000	538,550	2,091,025
			11/11/11/11 17/11/2014/14/10/00/00/00	comera con constituita de la comera del la comera del la comera del la comera de la comera del la comera del la comera del la comera de la comera de la comera de la comera de la comera del la	n sorting out of a company of	TENERAL CONTRACTOR	to a general contration in the contration	personal construction and the second	rene han had a dag dag dag a de menero e e	* - 09 (5) (44,644,644,64) (30,64) (30,64)	erem - sussissione	CONTRACTOR SERVICE CONTRACTOR	I Company	(* c) * (* c) - (2 kane)00(00) (\$ * 0 c) -

School Department Capital Project Request Fiscal Year: **School Department Technology Request** Project Title: FY23 FY23 FY24 FY24 FY24 FY25 FY21-25 FY22 FY22 FY22 FY23 Summary FY21 FY21 Intrastructure Change Prior New Change Total Prior New Change Prior New New Change 30,000 30.000 30.000 30.000 30.000 30.000 30,000 150,000 Servers 30,000 30,000 20,000 20.000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 100,000 Network Hardware 20,000 20,000 5.000 25,000 Wireless Infra. Data Cabling 5,000 5,000 5.000 5.000 5.000 5.000 5.000 5.000 290,000 58,000 58,000 58,000 58,000 58,000 58,000 58,000 Wireless Infra, Access Points 58,000 58,000 565,000 113,000 113,000 113,000 113,000 113,000 113,000 113,000 Subtotal 113,000 113,000 FY23 FY24 FY24 FY25 Summary Grand Total FY21 FY21 FY21 FY22 FY22 FY23 FY24 FY21-25 New Change Prior New Change New Total Prior Change Prior Prior New Change 309,000 339,000 30,000 282,750 362,750 80,000 538,550 2.091.025 377,150 377,150 Hardware 443,575 473.575 30,000 113,000 113,000 113,000 565,000 113,000 113,000 113,000 113,060 113,000 Infrastructure 113,000 30,000 490,150 490,150 422,000 452,000 30,000 395,750 475,750 80,000 651,550 2,656,025 556,575 586,575 **Grand Total**

FY 2020/21 - 2024/25 Capital Improvement Program School Department CIP Requests	Funded FY20	Prior FY21	Req FY21	Prior FY22	Req FY22	Prior FY23	Req FY23	Prior FY24	Req FY24	Req FY25	Req FY21-25
Technology & Equipment Replacement											
School Copiers	52,470	37,600	62,420	73,990	67,130	41,200	57,650	57,650	82,920		356,040
School Furniture	35,000	35,000	35,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000		25,000	135,000
School Vehicles	158,718	97,552	104,748	100,966	96,004	180,528	0	0	199,670	106,440	506,862
Document Management System	0	0	0	187,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
School Technology Request	632,350	556,575	586,575		490,150	422,000	452,000	395,750			2,656,025
Subtotal	878,538	726,727	788,743	877,806	678,284	668,728	534,650	478,400	783,340	868,910	3,653,927
Facilities Projects*	1					100.0					
School Master Plan Supplement	125,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
School Master Plan Feasibility Study Project Placeholder	0	0	650,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	650,000
Newman Preschool Playground Custom Shade Shelter	69,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broadmeadow School Technology Room Conversion	0	0	213,100		0	0	0	0	0	0	213,100
Eliot School Technology Room Conversion	0	179,300	179,300		0	0	0	0	0	0	179,300
Eliot School Modular Classrooms	0	556,700	0	3,481,200	601,300	0	3,759,600	0	0	0	4,360,900
NHS Athletic Locker Reconfiguration & Addition	50,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Needs Assessment of Pollard, Newman and NHS Auditorium Theatrical Sound	0	0	60,000		0	0	0	0	0	0	60,000
Sustain Hillside School as Swing Space	0	3,922,800	100,000	24,452,100	4,236,600	. 0	26,408,300		120 024 100	0	30,744,900
Mitchell School Renovation	0	0	0	0	650,000	0	19 613 700	0	120,824,100	0	121,474,100 20,896,500
Renovate/Reconstruct Emery Grover Building at Highland Ave.	0	0	0	0	2,282,800	0	18,613,700	0	0	0	20,090,500
Pollard School Renovation (F28-FY31)	0	4 550 000	* 202 400	28 146 400	7,770,700	0	48,781,600	0	120,824,100	o o	178,578,800
	244,200	4,658,800	1,202,400	28,146,400	7,770,700		40,701,000		120,024,100	J	270,570,000

^{*} Project costs reflect appropriation totals, rather than financing requirements

Durations Tisto	Disease alder Dreis et F	anaihilib. Onna		•	pital Project Request			
Project Title:	Placeholder Project F		, 		Inchile Education	La como	Fiscal Year:	2021
Request Type:	Design/Engineering Needham Public School	Classification:	Building	Primary Purpose:	Public Education More than 30 Years	Status:	New Request	\$650,000
Department:	: 	7		Useful Life:		Project Cost:	<u> </u>	\$650,000
How was the Proje	ct Cost Determined:	In-House Estimate		Budget Impact:	Negligible impact on the ann	ual operating expenses less that	an \$5,000	L _
1 Are there any co	ets to hid dosign, constru	et purchaco inetall	implement or othe	Parameters	pject which are NOT included is	this request?		Response No
•	mendations or costs ident				•	tills requestr		No
		<u>_</u>		or factored into the re	questr			
	require any permitting b			I de se ITC sussessible s				No
	for Technology, has the D			```				No
					enance (BM) division, and doe			No.
6. If this request is request?	for a project involving des	ign/construction of p	oublic property oth	er than building improv	rements, has the Department of	ommunicated with DPW, and o	does DPW support the	Not Applicable
7. If funded, will the	e operating budget need t	to be increased to co	ver operating expe	nses?				No
8. If funded, will thi	s project lower the reque	sting Department's o	perating costs?					No
9. If funded, will thi	s project require ongoing	assistance from vene	dors at an addition	al expense to the Town	which is NOT already budgete	d?		No
10. Is specialized tr	aining or annual licensing	required that the To	wn will need to pa	in order to use the ass	et?			No
11. Is this a project	for which an Initial Eligibi	lity Project Application	n can be filed with	the Community Preser	vation Committee (CPC)?		<u> </u>	No
12. Is this a request	in response to a Court, F	ederal, or State orde	r?					No
13. Is this a request	in response to a docume	nted public health or	safety condition?					No
14. Is this a request	to improve or make repa	irs to extend the use	ful life of a building	ξ?				No
15. Is this a request	to purchase apparatus/e	quipment that is inte	nded to be perma	nently installed at the lo	ocation of its use?			No
16. Is this a request	to repair or otherwise im	prove public propert	y which is NOT a b	uilding or infrastructure	2?			No
17. Will any other of	lepartment be required to	provide assistance i	n order to complet	e the project?				Yes
18. If funded, will t	nis project increase the op	perating expense for	any other departm	ent?				No
19. If funded, will a	dditional permanent staff	be required?						No
Total New FTE's:	0				Project Description	n and Considerations		
Project Budget Eler Planning/Feasibility Design/Engineering Land/ROW Acquisit Site Preparation Construction Construction Mana Equipment Furniture, Fixtures, Technology Other Expenses TOTAL	gement	\$650,000 \$650,000	the ongoing develop reco	School Master Plan ommendations for l	study. The School Mast ong-range school capital s of aging buildings.	ility design in FY21, to im er Plan is set to conclude plans under different enr	in April - June, 202	0 and will

School Department Capital Project Request

Project Title:

Placeholder Project Feasibility Recommended by School Master Plan

Fiscal Year:

2021

Supplemental Information

Capital planning for the School Department has grown increasingly complex, given the pressing needs of accommodating Full-Day Kindergarten, providing capacity for a growing enrollment and repairing and renovating aging facilities. These imminent and pressing needs have made it extremely difficult to prioritize from among the capital needs on the planning horizon: including a Mitchell Elementary School renovation to address building age/deficiencies; a recommended project to install up to six modular classrooms at the Eliot Elementary School to accommodate projected enrollment growth in that District; a small-scale retrofit to the Broadmeadow and Eliot Elementary Schools to provide needed capacity for Full Day Kindergarten, and a Pollard Renovation project to replace the modular classrooms (now at the end of their useful life), modernize spaces and provide enrollment capacity. In addition, the need to provide swing space for these projects and the potential use of the existing Hillside Elementary School on Glen Gary Road to meet this need, present intermediate scheduling and planning challenges. (These challenges are made more acute by the need to schedule around the planned use of this space as temporary headquarters for police/fire during renovation of their facility, and the fact that different retrofits will likely be needed to accommodate each use.) Meeting these various needs within available resources and reasonable timeframes will require the School Department to carefully consider all of the possibilities and options for prioritizing and scheduling building projects going forward.

The School Master Plan study will develop recommendations for long-range school capital plans under different enrollment scenarios/ trajectories and the practical considerations of aging buildings. Potential recommendations could involve other buildings and/or non-building solutions like redistricting.

The placeholder design will allow the recommendations from this study to be implemented as soon as the study is completed, to address the most urgent need identified in the study.

Parameters Addressed:

Other Departmental Assistance: PPBC Project Management

			Sch	ool Department Ca	pital Project Request				
Project Title:	Broadmeadow Schoo	l Technology Room	Conversion				Fiscal Year:		2021
Request Type:	Construction	Classification:	Building	Primary Purpose:	Public Education	Status:	Existing-Revised Pro	oject	
Department:	Needham Public School		1	Useful Life:	More than 20 Years	Project Cost:		-	\$213,10
	ct Cost Determined:	Hired Consultant		Budget Impact:	Negligible impact on the ann	ual operating expenses less that	an \$5,000		
				Parameters					Response
1. Are there any co	sts to bid, design, constru	ıct, purchase, install,	implement, or other	wise complete the pro	ject which are NOT included is	s this request?		No	
2. Are there recom	mendations or costs ident	tified by other depart	ments which are NC	T factored into the re	quest?			No	
3. Does this projec	require any permitting b	y any Town or State a	igency?					Yes	
4. If this request is	for Technology, has the D	epartment communi	cated with ITC, and o	does ITC support the re	equest?			Yes	
5. If this request is	for Building Improvement	ts, has the Departme	nt communicated wi	th the Building Mainte	enance (BM) division, and doe	s BM support the request?		Yes	Sept.
6. If this request is	for a project involving des	sign/construction of p	oublic property other	r than building improv	ements, has the Department o	communicated with DPW, and o	does DPW support the	Not A	pplicable
request?								NOT A	phiicapie
7. If funded, will th	e operating budget need t	to be increased to co	ver operating expen	ses?				No	7,44
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s project lower the reque							No	
9. If funded, will th	s project require ongoing	assistance from ven	dors at an additional	expense to the Town	which is NOT already budgete	d?		No	
•	aining or annual licensing							No	
11. Is this a project	for which an Initial Eligibi	ility Project Application	on can be filed with t	he Community Preser	vation Committee (CPC)?			No	
12. Is this a reques	in response to a Court, F	ederal, or State orde	r?					No	
•	in response to a docume	<u> </u>						No	
	to improve or make repa							No	•
	to purchase apparatus/e							No	
	to repair or otherwise in				?			No	
17. Will any other	lepartment be required to	o provide assistance i	n order to complete	the project?				Yes	
18. If funded, will t	his project increase the op	perating expense for	any other departme	nt?				No	
19. If funded, will a	dditional permanent staf	f be required?						No	
Total New FTE's:	0				Project Description	n and Considerations			
Project Budget Ele	nents	Project Budget	The School Co	mmittee impleme	ented Full-Day Kindergart	en (FDK) in September, 2	019. Based on a fe	asibil	tv studv
Planning/Feasibilit	1	\$(onducted by	•		, several modifications to			
Design/Engineering	*	\$33,90	1)1		, ,	ededn over the next five	•		
Land/ROW Acquisi	tion		1	• •		nodifications include the	•		•
Site Preparation			3 -	• •	_		conversion of a tec	1111010	gy iau at
Construction		\$128,40	1	adow School to a c	classroom, to open during	3 SCHOOL TEAL 2022/25.			
Construction Mana	gement	\$8,50	l .				dani Clamana - C	L I •	
Equipment	and Equipment	\$42,30		_		hnology lab at Broadmead	•		
Furniture, Fixtures Technology	anu equipment	\$ 4 2,30	0.033.00	-	•	ect budget prepared Febr	•	& Wr	iiπier,
Other Expenses			updated to re	flect the following	g cost escalators: 10%/ye	ar (FY19-20), and 8%/yea	r thereafter.		
TOTAL		\$213,100	র						
TOTAL	Handard Andrew	\$213,100	식						

School Department Capital Project Request

Project Title: Broadmeadow School Technology Room Conversion

Fiscal Year:

2021

Supplemental Information

Preliminary Project Schedule:

Funding for Detailed Design & Construction FY21 - Oct '20 STM

Detailed Design & Bidding (FY21) - Nov '20 - May '21

Construction: (FY23) - June '22 - Aug '22 New Classroom Opens (FY23) - Sept '22

Parameters Addressed:

Permitting: As required by Town Boards.

Technology: The School Instructional Technology Department is in support of this request. The estimated cost includes an FF&E budget for this project, including

classroom technology.

Building Improvements: The Public Facilities Department supports this request.

Other Departmental Assistance: Public Facilities, for bidding and project management.

This project has been revised to advance the funding date to October '20 STM (FY21), so that the Eliot and Broadmeadow technology room conversion projects can be designed and bid together, for cost savings and project efficiencies.

Project Budget Detail:

Broadmeadow School Technology Room Conversion

900 sf	Feasibilty	Construction*	A/E	FF&E	Constr Mgnt	Total	Cost/SF
FY18 Project Cost (D&W)		91,000	24,000	30,000	6,000	151,000	167.78
TOTAL	- 0%	91,000 60%	24,000 16%	30,000 20%	6,000 4%	151,000 100%	167.78
	078	0070	1070	20%	470	100%	
10.00% FY19 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	-	100,100	26,400	33,000	6,600	166,100	184.56
10.00% FY20 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	-	110,110	29,040	36,300	7,260	182,710	203.01
8.00% FY21 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	-	118,919	31,363	39,204	7,841	197,327	219.25
8.00% FY22 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	-	128,432	33,872	42,340	8,468	213,113	236.79
4 Years TOTAL PROJECT COST	-	128,432	33,872	42,340	8,468	213,113	236.79
TOTAL COST (ROUNDED)	-	128,400	33,900	42,300	8,500	213,100	236.78
	FY20	FY21	FY22	Total			
Fesibility	•			•			
Arch/Engineering		33,900		33,900			
Construction		128,400		128,400			
Construction Management		8,500		8,500			

Iroadmeadow School Technology Room Conversion 5021	on 42,300 Fiscal Year: 213,100 - 213,100
ı	

			Sch	ool Department Cap	oital Project Request			
Project Title:	Eliot School Technolo	ogy Room Conversio	n				Fiscal Year:	2021
Request Type:	Construction	Classification:	Building	Primary Purpose:	Public Education	Status:	Resubmitted Project	t
Department:	Needham Public Schoo			Useful Life:	More than 20 Years	Project Cost:	91	\$179,300
The Misself of the Control of the Co	t Cost Determined:	Hired Consultant		Budget Impact:	Negligible impact on the ann	ual operating expenses less th	an \$5,000	
	7	<i>i</i>		<u>Parameters</u>				Response
1. Are there any co	sts to bid, design, constru	uct, purchase, install, i	mplement, or other	wise complete the pro	ject which are NOT included is	s this request?		No
2. Are there recom	mendations or costs iden	ntified by other depart	ments which are NO	T factored into the re	quest?			No
3. Does this project	require any permitting b	oy any Town or State a	gency?					Yes
4. If this request is for Technology, has the Department communicated with ITC, and does ITC support the request?							Yes	
5. If this request is	for Building Improvemen	ts, has the Departmer	t communicated wit	th the Building Mainte	nance (BM) division, and doe	s BM support the request?	-	Yes
6. If this request is	or a project involving de	sign/construction of p	ublic property other	than building improv	ements, has the Department o	communicated with DPW, and	does DPW support the	Nes Analisable
request?								Not Applicable
7. If funded, will the	operating budget need	to be increased to co	er operating expens	ses?				No
8. If funded, will this project lower the requesting Department's operating costs?							No	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							No	
								No
11. Is this a project for which an Initial Eligibility Project Application can be filed with the Community Preservation Committee (CPC)?							No	
12. Is this a request	2. Is this a request in response to a Court, Federal, or State order?						No	
13. Is this a request	in response to a docume	ented public health or	safety condition?					No
<u>'</u>	to improve or make rep							No
	to purchase apparatus/							No
<u>.</u>	to repair or otherwise in		`		<u> </u>			No
17. Will any other o	lepartment be required t	to provide assistance i	n order to complete	the project?				Yes
18. If funded, will t	nis project increase the o	perating expense for	any other departme	nt?				No
19. If funded, will a	dditional permanent staf	ff be required?					· ····	No
Total New FTE's:	0		glist i afgir i e	oto e pro <u>de</u> r	Project Description	n and Considerations		
Project Budget Eler	nents	Project Budget	The School Co	mmittee impleme	ented Full-Day Kindergart	en (FDK) in September, 2	2019. Based on a fe	asibility study
Planning/Feasibility		\$0	conducted by	•	•	, several modifications to		
Design/Engineering	•	\$28,500	11		• • •	eded over the next five	•	
Land/ROW Acquisit	ion			• •		nodifications include the	•	•
Site Preparation		440040			to open during School Ye		conversion of a tec	illiology lab at
Construction		\$108,100	II.	Oi to a classiooili,	to open during school re	edi 2021/22.		
Construction Mana	gement	\$7,100	l l	rouidos fundins +=	convert the evicting test	analogy lab at Eliat Elam	antani Cahaal ta a -	laceroom
Equipment This project provides funding to convert the existing technology lab at Eliot Elementary School to a cla Furniture, Fixtures, and Equipment \$35,600 Project costs are based on a preliminary project budget prepared February 2018 by Dore & Whittier, u								
Technology	and Equipment	433,00	1 Toject costs (•		•	•	upuateu to
Other Expenses			reflect the fol	iowing cost escala	tors: 10%/year (F119-20)	, and 8%/year thereafter	•	
Other Expenses								

Project Title:

Eliot School Technology Room Conversion

Fiscal Year:

2021

Supplemental Information

Preliminary Project Schedule:

Funding for Detailed Design & Construction FY21 - (Oct '20 STM)

Detailed Design & Bidding (FY21) - Nov '20 - May '21

Construction (FY22) - June '21 - Aug '21

New Classroom Opens (FY22) - Sept '21

Parameters Addressed:

Permitting: As required by Town Boards.

Technology: The School Instructional Technology Department is in support of this request. The estimated cost includes an FF&E budget for this project, including

classroom technology.

Building Improvements: The Public Facilities Department supports this request.

Other Departmental Assistance: Public Facilities, for bidding and project management.

Project Budget Detail:

Eliot School Technology Room Conversion

90	00 sf	Feasibilty	Construction*	A/E	FF&E	Constr Mgnt	Total	\$/ sf
FY	'18 Project Cost (D&W)		91,000	24,000	30,000	6,000	151,000	167.78
T	TOTAL	-	91,000	24,000	30,000	6,000	151,000	167.78
		0%	60%	16%	20%	4%	100%	
10.00% FY	'19 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	-	91,000	24,000	30,000	6,000	151,000	167.78
10.00% FY	20 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	-	100,100	26,400	33,000	6,600	166,100	184.56
8.00% FY	21 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	=	108,108	28,512	35,640	7,128	179,388	199.32
3 Years	TOTAL PROJECT COST	•	108,108	28,512	35,640	7,128	179,388	199.32
	TOTAL COST (ROUNDED)	-	108,100	28,500	35,600	7,100	179,400	199.33

* Excludes modular temporary classrooms

	FY20	FY21	Total
Fesibility	•	-	•
Arch/Engineering		28,500	28,500
Construction		108,100	108,100
Construction Management		7,100	7,100
FF&E	Ω	35,600	35,600
Total	-	179,300	179,300

			S	ichool Department Ca	pital Project Request					
Project Title:	Eliot Modular Classro	oms					Fiscal Year:	2022		
Request Type:	Construction	Classification:	Building	Primary Purpose:	Public Education	Status:	Resubmitted Project	:t		
Department:	Needham Public School	S		Useful Life:	More than 20 Years	Project Cost:		\$4,360,900		
How was the Proje	t Cost Determined:	Hired Consultant		Budget Impact:	May increase annual operati	ng expenses by more than \$10	00,000	1 (200) 1 (40) 1		
				<u>Parameters</u>				Response		
1. Are there any co	sts to bid, design, constru	ct, purchase, install,	implement, or oth	erwise complete the pro	oject which are NOT included i	s this request?		No		
2. Are there recom	mendations or costs ident	ified by other depar	tments which are	NOT factored into the re	equest?			No		
3. Does this project	require any permitting b	y any Town or State	agency?					Yes		
4. If this request is for Technology, has the Department communicated with ITC, and does ITC support the request?							Yes			
5. If this request is	or Building Improvement	s, has the Departme	nt communicated	with the Building Mainte	enance (BM) division, and doe	s BM support the request?		Yes		
6. If this request is	or a project involving des	ign/construction of	oublic property ot	her than building improv	ements, has the Department of	communicated with DPW, and	does DPW support the			
request?								Not Applicable		
7. If funded, will the operating budget need to be increased to cover operating expenses?							Yes			
8. If funded, will th	s project lower the reque	sting Department's	perating costs?					No		
9. If funded, will this project require ongoing assistance from vendors at an additional expense to the Town which is NOT already budgeted?							No			
10. Is specialized training or annual licensing required that the Town will need to pay in order to use the asset?							No			
11. Is this a project for which an Initial Eligibility Project Application can be filed with the Community Preservation Committee (CPC)?						No				
12. Is this a request in response to a Court, Federal, or State order?						No				
13. Is this a request	in response to a docume	nted public health o	r safety condition	·				No		
14. Is this a request	to improve or make repa	irs to extend the use	ful life of a buildi	ng?				No		
15. Is this a request	to purchase apparatus/e	quipment that is into	ended to be perm	anently installed at the le	ocation of its use?		-	No		
16. Is this a request	to repair or otherwise in	prove public proper	ty which is NOT a	building or infrastructure	e?			No		
17. Will any other of	epartment be required to	provide assistance	in order to comple	ete the project?				Yes		
18. If funded, will t	nis project increase the op	perating expense for	any other departi	nent?				Yes		
19. If funded, will a	dditional permanent staff	be required?						No		
Total New FTE's:	0				Project Description	on and Considerations				
Project Budget Eler	nents	Project Budget	Potential ne	w develonment in t	the Eliot district, if realize	d could result in signific	ant enrollment grow	wth for the		
Planning/Feasibility		\$	Λ!	•	n) school. Based on a feas	•	•			
Design/Engineering	1	\$601,30	111	•	•	•	•			
Land/ROW Acquisit	ion		1 '	• •	cations would be needed	to accommodate the pr	ojected number or (ciassrooms		
Site Preparation			needed und	ler this scenario.						
Construction		\$3,527,60	0							
Construction Mana	gement	\$13,80	See below for additional information.							
Equipment		_	_							
Furniture, Fixtures,	and Equipment	\$218,20	이							
Technology			1							
Other Expenses		A	.							
TOTAL		\$4,360,90	빌							

Cahaal Danartmant	Capital Project Reque	-+
Stricoi debai tinent	Cabital Project Redue	St.

Proiect Title:

Eliot Modular Classrooms

Supplemental Information

Fiscal Year:

2022

This request would install six modular classrooms at the school. The modular classrooms (in combination with the separate Eliot lab conversion project) would allow the school to meet the following projected need for classrooms: one additional classroom by 2020/21; two classrooms by FY2024/25; four classrooms by 2025/26; five classrooms by 2026/27, and a total of seven classrooms by 2029/30.

Project costs are based on a preliminary project budget prepared February 2018 by Dore & Whittier, updated to reflect the following cost escalators: 10%/year (FY19-20), and 8%/year thereafter.

Preliminary Project Schedule:

Funding for Detailed Design: FY22 (May '21 ATM)
Detailed Design & Bidding: June '21 - Apr '22
Funding for Construction: FY23 (May '22 ATM)

Site Work/ Construction: June '22 - Aug '24 (24 Months, Due to Tight Site)

New Classrooms Open: (FY25) - Sept '24

Parameters Addressed:

Permitting: As required by Town Boards.

Technology: The School Instructional Technology Department is in support of this request. The estimated project cost includes an FF&E budget for this new facility,

including classroom technology.

Building Improvements: This project is supported by the PPBC and Public Facilities departments. Operating Budget Increase: Utilities. This placeholder estimate to be revised during design.

Other Departmental Assistance: PPBC Project Management

Operating Budget Increase: Utilities. This placeholder estimate to be revised during design process.

Project Budget Detail:

10.00% 10.00% 8.00% 8.00% 8.00%

Eliot School Modular Classrooms (6 @ 1,200 sf/each) & Renovation of Existing Technology Lab

	10,000 sf	Construction (1)	A/E (2)	FF&E	Constr Mgnt (3)	Total	Cost/SF
	FY18 Project Cost (D&W) - Combined Less Tech Room Conversion - Separate	2,515,500 91,000	437,250 24,000	180,000 30,000	15,500 6,000	3,148,250 151,000	314.83 _167.78
	TOTAL	2,424,500	413,250	150,000	9,500	2,997,250	147.05
,	FY19 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	2,545,725	433,913	157,500	9,975	3,147,113	314.71
•	FY20 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	2,800,298	477,304	173,250	10,973	3,461,824	346.18
,	FY21 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	3,024,321	515,488	187,110	11,850	3,738,770	373.88
,	FY22 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	3,266,267	556,727	202,079	12,798	4,037,871	403.79
1	FY23 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	3,527,568	601,265	218,245	13,822	4,360,901	436.09

			School Departme	ent Capital Project I	Request				
Project Title:	Eliot Mod	lular Classrooms					Fiscal Yea	r:	2022
	5 Years	TOTAL PROJECT COST TOTAL COST (ROUNDED)	3,527,568 3,527,600	601,265 601,300	218,245 218,200	13,822 13,800	4,360,901 4,360,900	436.09 436.09	

- (1) Construction includes site, modulars, renovation construction cost and contingencies
 (2) A/E includes soft costs and 80% of owners' direct costs (less FF&E.)
 (3) Construction management includes 20% of owners' direct costs (less FF&E.)

Fesibility	FY22	FY23	Total
Arch/Engineering	601,300		601,300
Construction	•	3,527,600	3,527,600
Construction Management		13,800	13,800
FF&E	<u>:</u>	218,200	218,200
	601,300	3,759,600	4,360,900
	Modulars	Tech Room	
D&W Estimated Cost (including escalati	3,728,750	164,500	
Less Escalation	(580,500)	(13,500)	
Subtotal	3,148,250	151,000	

		·		•	pital Project Request			
Project Title:	Needs Assessment of	Pollard, Newman a	nd NHS Auditori	um Theatrical Sound	and Lighting Systems		Fiscal Year:	2021
Request Type:	Design/Engineering	Classification:	Equipment	Primary Purpose:	Public Education	Status:	Resubmitted Project	it .
Department:	Needham Public Schools	S		Useful Life:	More than 9 Years	Project Cost:		\$60,000
How was the Proje	ct Cost Determined:	In-House Estimate		Budget Impact:	Negligible impact on the an	nual operating expenses less tha	n \$5,000	
				<u>Parameters</u>			A. C.	Response
1. Are there any co	sts to bid, design, constru	ct, purchase, install, ir	nplement, or othe	rwise complete the pro	ject which are NOT included i	s this request?		No
2. Are there recom	mendations or costs ident	ified by other departr	nents which are N	OT factored into the re	quest?			No
3. Does this project	require any permitting by	y any Town or State a	gency?					No
4. If this request is	for Technology, has the De	epartment communic	ated with ITC, and	does ITC support the re	equest?			Yes
					enance (BM) division, and doe	es BM support the request?		No
						communicated with DPW, and d	oes DPW support the	
request?					·			Not Applicable
7. If funded, will th	e operating budget need t	o be increased to cov	er operating expe	nses?				No
							No sale	
9. If funded, will this project require ongoing assistance from vendors at an additional expense to the Town which is NOT already budgeted?							No	
10. Is specialized tr	Is specialized training or annual licensing required that the Town will need to pay in order to use the asset?						No	
						No		
12. Is this a reques	in response to a Court, Fo	ederal, or State order	?					No
13. Is this a reques	t in response to a docume	nted public health or	safety condition?					No
14. Is this a reques	to improve or make repa	irs to extend the usef	ul life of a building	; ?				No
15. Is this a reques	t to purchase apparatus/e	quipment that is inter	nded to be perma	nently installed at the lo	ocation of its use?			No
16. Is this a reques	to repair or otherwise im	prove public property	which is NOT a b	uilding or infrastructure	2?			No
17. Will any other o	department be required to	provide assistance in	order to complet	e the project?				Yes
18. If funded, will t	his project increase the op	perating expense for a	ny other departm	ent?				No
19. If funded, will a	dditional permanent staff	be required?						No
Total New FTE's:	0				Project Descripti	on and Considerations		
Project Budget Elei	<u> </u>	Project Budget	This project	is to conduct a need	ds assessment/ feasibilit	y study of upgrading the th	neatrical sound and	d lighting
Planning/Feasibility	1	\$60,000			•	ool (NHS)Auditoriums. Th		
Design/Engineering	3		1 "	•	•	cal equipment currently in		
Land/ROW Acquisi	tion		1			eatrical productions. Altho		•
Site Preparation			B	•	•	•	Jugii sullie issues	are unique to
Construction			particular venues, some common deficiencies in the audio systems include:					
Construction Management * speakers, which are poorly placed, insufficient in number and/or non-functioning, thereby impairing the audience's ability to hear what is happening clearly on stage from all seats.						ig the		
Equipment	and Faulament			-				6
Furniture, Fixtures	, and Equipment		I.			nctioning and/or not at a s	candard that is nec	essary for a
Technology Other Expenses			modern mus	sical or theatrical pr	oduction.			
TOTAL		\$60,000	1					
TOTAL		200,000	4					

Project Title:

Needs Assessment of Pollard, Newman and NHS Auditorium Theatrical Sound and Lighting Systems

Fiscal Year:

2021

Supplemental Information

- * amplification equipment (such as wireless receivers and microphones), which are insufficient in number and/or broken.
- * The lighting systems also include light fixtures that are partially non-functioning, and uncoordinated with sound delivery.

Although the need and vision for these spaces has not yet been fully assessed, school parents and community groups already have engaged in fundraising for equipment upgrades. Pollard parents have written a Needham Education Foundation (NEF) grant and received community donations to obtain funding for lighting system upgrades in the Pollard Auditorium, the component parts of which are expected to cost approximately \$15,000. Other groups have discussed fundraising for the NHS Auditorium as well.

This study is intended to guide and direct future fundraising by community partners and develop a capital plan and budget for these spaces.

Parameters:

Technology: The School Technology Department supports this request.

Departmental Assistance: Public Facilities, for bidding.

			S	chool Department Cap	pital Project Request				
Project Title:	Renovate Hillside Ele	ementary School as S		School Construction P	• •		Fiscal Year:	2022	
Request Type:	Construction	Classification:	Building	Primary Purpose:	Public Education	Status:	Existing-Revised Pro		
Department:	Needham Public Schoo	ls		Useful Life:	More than 30 Years	Project Cost:		\$30,869,96	
How was the Project	t Cost Determined:	Hired Consultant	-	Budget Impact:	May increase annual operat	ing expenses by more than \$100,	000	14	
Germania (1994) Germania (1994)				<u>Parameters</u>				Response	
1. Are there any cos	ts to bid, design, constru	uct, purchase, install, ir	nplement, or oth	erwise complete the pro	ject which are NOT included i	s this request?		Yes	
2. Are there recomm	nendations or costs iden	ntified by other departr	nents which are N	NOT factored into the re	quest?			No	
3. Does this project	require any permitting b	oy any Town or State ag	gency?					Yes	
4. If this request is f	or Technology, has the D	Department communic	ated with ITC, and	d does ITC support the r	equest?			Yes	
5. If this request is f	or Building Improvemen	ts, has the Departmen	communicated v	with the Building Mainte	enance (BM) division, and doe	es BM support the request?		Yes	
6. If this request is f	or a project involving de	sign/construction of pr	ıblic property oth	er than building improv	ements, has the Department	communicated with DPW, and do	es DPW support the	Not Applicable	
request?								NOT Applicable	
	operating budget need			enses?				Yes	
8. If funded, will this project lower the requesting Department's operating costs?							No		
, ,							No		
	g of annual training of annual training requires							No	
11. Is this a project for which an Initial Eligibility Project Application can be filed with the Community Preservation Committee (CPC)?							No		
12. Is this a request	this a request in response to a Court, Federal, or State order?						No		
13. Is this a request	in response to a docume	ented public health or	safety condition?					No	
	to improve or make rep							Yes	
	to purchase apparatus/							No	
	to repair or otherwise in				<u>? </u>			No	
17. Will any other d	epartment be required t	to provide assistance in	order to comple	te the project?				Yes	
18. If funded, will th	is project increase the o	perating expense for a	ny other departn	nent?				Yes	
19. If funded, will a	dditional permanent stat	ff be required?						No	
Total New FTE's:	0				Project Description	on and Considerations			
Project Budget Elen	nents	Project Budget	This is a pro	iect to modernize th	ne existing Hillside Schoo	I for use as swing space for	future school can	ital projects.	
Planning/Feasibility		\$225,000	after the ne		~	in September 2029 (FY20.)	•	• • •	
Design/Engineering		\$4,236,600			•	r Renovation (June '23 - Jul	•		
Land/ROW Acquisit	ion		B		•	29 - Aug '30.) This schedule			
Site Preparation									
Construction		\$22,698,100							
Construction Mana	gement	\$1,059,100	more substa	antial modifications	occurring to accommod	ate the Mitchell and Pollard	school populatio	ns.	
Equipment Furniture, Fixtures, and Equipment \$2,651,100 See below for additional information.									
Technology	and Equipment	\$2,031,100	See below to	or additional inform	iation.				
Other Expenses									
TOTAL		\$30,869,900							
		+55,555,500	<u> </u>						

Project Title: Renovate Hillside Elementary School as Swing Space for School Construction Projects

Fiscal Year:

2022

Supplemental Information

Given the long time-line and design variables associated with this project, the potential project schedule below reflects interim uses A (Emery Grover) and B (Mitchell School) only. The overall project cost also is a placeholder, based on the "Option A" 'Base Repair' project estimate developed by Dore & Whittier Architects in 2014, which updates all major building systems to comply with current codes and regulations. The scope, timeline and budget of a final project would depend on the outcome of the feasibility studies below, as well as the ongoing School Master Plan, and could take various forms. (For example, an alternate project could tear down the facility and construct a modular classrooms campus.) The scope of the 'Option A' base repair budget does NOT include adding the modular classrooms that would be needed to accommodate the Mitchell School population or a full grade of Pollard students. The Feasibility Study scope should include a comparative analsis of the relative cost effectiveness of an alternate project to demolish the school and create modular swing space on this site, should reflect the smallest renovation scope possible, and should include the needed modular component. This project reflects the timeline below and the following cost escalators: 6%/year (FY14-FY16), 5.0%/year (FY17-18), 10.0%/year (FY19-20), and 8%/year thereafter.

This project is revised from the previous request to push the project time table back one year, to reflect the anticipated completion of the School Master Plan study in June 2020, the final recommendations from which will shape the final scope and budget for this project. According to the PPBC, it is possible that the final design budget may need to include 2% construction management expense (compared to 1%), but that adjustment is not reflected above, in order to preserve the integrity of the source information.

Potential Schedule

Feasibility Funding for Interim Uses A & B (FY21) - May '20 ATM
Funding for Detailed Design (FY22) - May '21 ATM
Design Bidding (FY21-22) - May '21 - Aug '21
Schematic Design for Interim Uses (Phases A, B, C) (FY22) - Sept '21 - Mar '22
Funding for Construction Interim Use A (FY23) - May '22 ATM
Detailed Design & Bidding Phase A (FY22-23) - May '22 - Oct '22
Interim Use - School Administration Construction/Repairs (FY23) - Nov '22 - May '23
Move School Administration to Hillside (FY23-24) - June '23 - July '23
School Administration Occupies Hillside (FY24-25) - Aug '23 - June '25

Funding for Construction Interim Use B Exterior Modulars (FY24) - Oct '23 STM Design Development Exterior Modulars (FY24) - Jan '24 - June '24 Construction Documents Exterior Modulars (FY25) - July '24 - Oct '24 Bidding (FY25) - Nov '24 - Dec '24 Exterior Construction (FY25-26) - Jan '25 - Aug '25 Modular Reconstruction & Interior Renovation (FY25-26) - June '25 - Aug '25 Feasibility Funding for Interim Use C (FY27) - May '26 ATM Mitchell School Occupies Hillside (FY26-27) - Aug '25 - July '27 Remaining Schedule & Budget to be Determined by Feasibility Study

Parameters Addressed:

Project Costs Not Included: See Project Budget narrative above.

Permitting: As required by Town Boards.

Technology: The School Instructional Technology Department is in support of this request. The estimated project cost includes an FF&E budget for this new facility, including technology.

Building Improvements: The PPBC supports this request.

Operating Budget Increase: Improvements to HVAC, electical system may increase building maintenance expense by more than \$100,000/year. This is a placeholder estimate.

Extend Useful Life: See above narrative.

Other Departmental Assistance: PPBC Project Management; Public Facilities Maintenance & Custodial.

Operating Budget Increase for Another Department: See above.

Project Title: Renovate Hillside Elementary School as Swing Space for School Construction Projects

Fiscal Year:

2022

Operating Budget Increase: Improvements to HVAC, electical system may increase building maintenance by more than \$100,000/year. This is a placeholder estimate.

Project Budget:

Hillside School Modernization, Based on 2014 Dore & Whittier PreFeasibility Study Option A, Repair Hillside School for 430 Students Scheduled opening: July 2024 (FY25)

	45,005 SF Building	Feasibilty	Construction*	A/E	FF&E	Constr Mgnt	Total	Cost/SF
	FY14 Project Cost (D&W)	225,000	8,835,814	1,649,200	1,032,000	412,300	12,154,314	<u>\$270.07</u>
	TOTAL	225,000	8,835,814	1,649,200	1,032,000	412,300	12,154,314	\$270.07
		2%	73%	14%	8%	3%	100%	
6.00%	FY15 Cost Multiplier		9,365,963	1,748,152	1,093,920	437,038	12,645,073	\$280.97
6.00%	FY16 Cost Multiplier		9,927,921	1,853,041	1,159,555	463,260	13,403,777	\$297.83
5.00%	FY17 Cost Multiplier		10,424,317	1,945,693	1,217,533	486,423	14,073,966	\$312.72
5.00%	FY18 Cost Multiplier		10,945,532	2,042,978	1,278,410	510,744	14,777,664	\$328.36
10.00%	FY19 Cost Multiplier		12,040,086	2,247,276	1,406,251	561,819	16,255,431	\$361.19
10.00%	FY20 Cost Multiplier	100,000	13,244,094	2,472,003	1,546,876	618,001	17,980,974	\$399.53
8.00%	FY21 Cost Multiplier	100,000	14,303,622	2,669,763	1,670,626	667,441	19,411,452	\$431.32
8.00%	FY22 Cost Multiplier	100,000	15,447,912	2,883,345	1,804,276	720,836	20,956,368	\$465.65
8.00%	FY23 Cost Multiplier	100,000	16,683,745	3,114,012	1,948,618	778,503	22,624,877	\$502.72
8.00%	FY24 Cost Multiplier	100,000	18,018,444	3,363,133	2,104,507	840,783	24,426,868	\$542.76
8.00%	FY25 Cost Multiplier	100,000	19,459,920	3,632,184	2,272,868	908,046	26,373,017	\$586.00
8.00%	FY26 Cost Multiplier	225,000	21,016,713	3,922,758	2,454,697	980,690	28,599,858	\$635.48
8.00%	FY27 Cost Multiplier	225,000	22,698,050	4,236,579	2,651,073	1,059,145	30,869,847	\$685.92
9 \	Years TOTAL PROJECT COST	225,000	22,698,050	4,236,579	2,651,073	1,059,145	30,869,847	\$685.92
	TOTAL COST (ROUNDED	225,000	22,698,100	4,236,600	2,651,100	1,059,100	30,869,800	\$685.92
	* Excludes modular temporary	classrooms						
	Square Footage		45,005					
		FY21	FY22	FY24	FY7	Total		
	Fesibility	100,000			125,000	225,000		
	Arch/Engineering		4,236,600			4,236,600		
	Construction			22,698,100		22,698,100		
	Construction Management	t		1,059,100		1,059,100		
	FF&E	Ω	<u> </u>	2,651,100	Ω	2,651,100		
		400 000	4 225 500	20 400 200	105 000	20.000.000		

_
4
88
ă
ਰ

	2022
	Fiscal Year:
	006'698'08
ct Request	125,000
School Department Capital Project Request	ce for School Construction Projects 4,236,600 26,408,300
	chool as Swing Spac 100,000
	Renovate Hillside Elementary So Total
	Project Title:

			S	chool Department Cap	pital Project Request			
Proiect Title:	Renovate Mitchell Ele	ementary School			,		Fiscal Year:	2022
Request Type:	Construction	Classification:	Building	Primary Purpose:	Public Education	Status:	Existing-Revised Proj	
Department:	Needham Public School		- Tounding	Useful Life:	More than 30 Years	Project Cost:		\$121,474,100
•	t Cost Determined:	Hired Consultant		Budget Impact:	May increase annual operat	ing expenses by more than \$10	0,000	
now was the rroje		Till Ca Consaitant		Parameters	ega e a significant de la companya d			Response
1. Are there any co	sts to bid, design, constru	ıct, purchase, install, i	mplement, or oth	erwise complete the pro	eject which are NOT included i	s this request?		Yes
	mendations or costs iden							No
	require any permitting b							Yes
	for Technology, has the D			d does ITC support the r	equest?			Yes
5. If this request is	for Building Improvement	ts, has the Departmer	t communicated	with the Building Mainte	enance (BM) division, and doe	es BM support the request?		Yes
6. If this request is	or a project involving de	sign/construction of p	ublic property otl	ner than building improv	ements, has the Department	communicated with DPW, and	does DPW support the	Not Applicable
request?								Not Applicable
7. If funded, will the	e operating budget need	to be increased to co	er operating expo	enses?				Yes
	s project lower the reque							No
9. If funded, will th	s project require ongoing	assistance from vene	dors at an addition	nal expense to the Town	which is NOT already budgets	ed?		No
	aining or annual licensing							No
11. Is this a project for which are mittal engineery respectation can be med with the community reservation community reservation.							No	
12. Is this a request	in response to a Court, F	ederal, or State orde	?					No
	in response to a docume							No
	to improve or make rep							Yes
	to purchase apparatus/e							No
	to repair or otherwise in				e?		-	No
17. Will any other of	lepartment be required t	o provide assistance i	n order to comple	te the project?				Yes
18. If funded, will t	his project increase the o	perating expense for	any other departr	nent?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Yes
19. If funded, will a	dditional permanent staf	f be required?						No
Total New FTE's:	4		i in the second		Project Descripti	on and Considerations		
Project Budget Elei	nents	Project Budget	Constructed	l in 1950, the Mitch	ell Elementary School ha	s undergone several addit	tions over the past 5	0 vears, but is
Planning/Feasibility	1	\$650,000	\	•	•	ding deficiencies and mod	· ·	•
Design/Engineering	Į.	\$16,782,00				of modernization compara		
Land/ROW Acquisi	tion		, -	_	-			
Site Preparation						ith the Town in completin		
Construction		\$96,497,40				sions will be made upon p		
Construction Mana	gement	\$4,195,50				are based on a 2014 upda		
Equipment						l (Option 1A.3, New Const		000 for pre-
Furniture, Fixtures,	and Equipment	\$3,349,20	p feasibility d	esign. During cons	truction, the school wou	ld occupy swing space at	HIIIside School.	
Technology								
Other Expenses		Ć171 A7A 10	See below f	or additional inform	nation.			
TOTAL		\$121,474,10	깈					

Project Title:

Renovate Mitchell Elementary School

Fiscal Year:

2022

Supplemental Information

A possible schedule for the Mitchell Elementary School Renovation project is shown below, based on Needham's experience with the Hillside Renovation Project with MSBA. A parallel project to update the Hillside Elementary School as swing space is presented separately. The total cost of the project may vary from the existing estimates, based on the combined scope and MSBA's participation in the Mitchell project development.

Potential Schedule

Submit SOI to MSBA (FY21) - Feb '21 - Apr '21 MSBA Board Meeting to Vote SOI (FY21-22) - April '21 - Aug '21 Feasibility (FY22-23) Funding (FY22) - Oct '21 STM Designer Selection with MSBA (FY22) - Nov '22 - Mar '22 Feasibility Stdy (19 Months) (FY22-23) - Mar '22 - Jun '23 PDP (FY22-23) - Mar '22 - Aug '22 PSR (FY23) - Sept '22 - Jan '23 MSBA Board Meeting to Accept Feasibility (FY23) - Jan '23 Schematic Design Mitchell (FY23-24) Schematic Design (FY23) - Jan '23 - June '23 DRT Review (FY23) - Feb '23 MSBA/DESE Review (FY23) - May '23 - June '23 Submit Schematic Design to MSBA (FY23) - June '23 Needham Boards Approve Schematic Design (FY23-24) - June '23 - Aug '23 MSBA Board Meeting to Approve Schematic Design (FY24) - July '23/Aug '23 Project Funding (FY24) Submit Ballot Question to Secretary of State (FY24) - Aug '23 Special Town Meeting (FY24) - Oct '23 Override Ballot Question (FY24) - Nov '23 Project Funding Agreement (FY24) - Nov '23 - Dec '23 Design Development (FY24) Design Development & Review (FY24) - Dec '23 - May '24 MSBA Review & Approval (FY24) - May '24 - June '24 **Construction Documents** 60% Submittal to MSBA (FY25) - July '24 - Oct '24 90% Submittal to MSBA (FY25) - Nov '24 - Jan '25 Completion of Construction Docs (FY25) - Jan '25 - Mar '25 Bidding Documents/ Procurement (FY25) - Apr '25 - July '25 Mitchell Occupies Hillside 9FY26-FY27) - Aug '25 - July '27 Construction (FY26-27) - Aug '25 - Aug '27 New Building Opens (FY28) - Sept '27

Project Budget:

All costs include escalation to the mid-point of construction, using 6%/year (FY14-FY16), 5.0%/year (FY17-18), 10.0%/year (FY19-20), and 8%/year thereafter.

This project is revised from the previous request to push the project time table back one year, to reflect the anticipated completion of the School Master Plan study in June 2020, the final recommendations from which will shape the final scope and budget for this project. According to the PPBC, it is possible that the final design budget may need to include 2% construction management expense (compared to 1%), but that adjustment is not reflected above, in order to preserve the integrity of the source information.

Parameters Addressed:

Project Costs Not Included: See Project Budget narrative above.

Permitting: As required by Town Boards.

Technology: The School Instructional Technology Department is in support of this request. The estimated project cost includes an FF&E budget for this new facility, including classroom technology.

Building Improvements: The PPBC and Public Facilities Department support this request.

Operating Budget Increase: Improvements to HVAC, electical system may increase building maintenance expense by more than \$100,000/year. This placeholder estimate to be revised during design.

Extend Useful Life: See above narrative.

Project Title: Renovate Mitchell Elementary School

Fiscal Year:

2022

Other Departmental Assistance: PPBC Project Management

Operating Budget Increase: Improvements to HVAC, electical system may increase building maintenance expense by more than \$100,000/year. This placeholder

estimate to be revised during design process.

FTE: Estimate 2.0 Additional Custodians; 2.0 Additional Crossing Guards.

Project Budget Detail:

Mitchell School Renovation/ Replacement, Based on 2014 Dore & Whittier PreFeasibility Study Option 1A.2a, New School Construction for 503 Students, Updated 2014 Scheduled opening: September 2026 (FY27)

	82,227 SF Building	Feasibilty	Construction*	A/E	FF&E	Constr Mgnt	Total	Cost/SF
	FY14 Project Cost (D&W) - Mitchell	650,000	34,781,640	6,048,928	1,207,200	1,512,232	44,200,000	
	TOTAL	650,000	34,781,640	6,048,928	1,207,200	1,512,232	44,200,000	\$538
		1%	79%	14%	3%	3%	100%	
6.00%	FY15 Cost Multiplier	650,000	36,868,538	6,411,864	1,279,632	1,602,966	46,813,000	\$569
6.00%	FY16 Cost Multiplier	650,000	39,080,651	6,796,576	1,356,410	1,699,144	49,582,780	\$603
5.00%	FY17 Cost Multiplier	650,000	41,034,683	7,136,404	1,424,230	1,784,101	52,029,419	\$633
5.00%	FY18 Cost Multiplier	650,000	43,086,417	7,493,224	1,495,442	1,873,306	54,598,390	\$664
10.00%	FY19 Cost Multiplier	650,000	47,395,059	8,242,547	1,644,986	2,060,637	59,993,229	\$730
10.00%	FY20 Cost Multiplier	650,000	52,134,565	9,066,802	1,809,485	2,266,700	65,927,552	\$802
8.00%	FY21 Cost Multiplier	650,000	56,305,330	9,792,146	1,954,244	2,448,036	71,149,756	\$865
8.00%	FY22 Cost Multiplier	650,000	60,809,757	10,575,517	2,110,583	2,643,879	76,789,736	\$934
8.00%	FY23 Cost Multiplier	650,000	65,674,537	11,421,559	2,279,430	2,855,390	82,880,915	\$1,008
8.00%	FY24 Cost Multiplier	650,000	70,928,500	12,335,284	2,461,784	3,083,821	89,459,389	\$1,088
8.00%	FY25 Cost Multiplier	650,000	76,602,780	13,322,106	2,658,727	3,330,527	96,564,140	\$1,174
8.00%	FY26 Cost Multiplier	650,000	82,731,003	14,387,875	2,871,425	3,596,969	104,237,271	\$1,268
8.00%	FY27 Cost Multiplier	650,000	89,349,483	15,538,905	3,101,139	3,884,726	112,524,253	\$1,368
8.00%	FY28 Cost Multiplier	650,000	<u>96,497,441</u>	16,782,017	3,349,230	4,195,504	<u> 121,474,193</u>	\$1,477
	ears TOTAL PROJECT COST	650,000	96,497,441	16,782,017	3,349,230	4,195,504	121,474,193	\$1,477
	TOTAL COST (ROUNDED)	650,000	96,497,400	16,782,000	3,349,200	4,195,500	121,474,200	\$1,477

^{*} Excludes modular temporary classrooms

82,227 Square Footage

	Oct '21 STM	Oct '23 STM		
	FY22	FY24	FY25	Total
Feasibility	650,000			650,000
Arch/Engineering		16,782,000		16,782,000
Construction	0	96,497,400		96,497,400
Construction Management		4,195,500		4,195,500
FF&E		3,349,200	Ω	3,349,200
Total	650,000	120,824,100	-	121,474,100

			School Department (Capital Project Request			
Proiect Title:	Renovate/Reconstruc	t Emery Grover Build	ling at Highland Avenue Location			Fiscal Year:	2022
Request Type:	Construction		Building Primary Purpose	Public Education	Status:	Existing-Revised Pro	oject
Department:	Needham Public School		Useful Life:	More than 30 Years	Project Cost:		\$20,896,500
How was the Project	t Cost Determined:	Hired Consultant	Budget Impact:	May increase annual operati	ing expenses by more than \$10	00,000	
			<u>Parameters</u>				Response
1. Are there any co	ts to bid, design, constru	ct, purchase, install, im	plement, or otherwise complete the p	project which are NOT included is	s this request?		Yes
2. Are there recom	mendations or costs ident	tified by other departm	ents which are NOT factored into the	request?			No
3. Does this project	require any permitting b	y any Town or State ago	ency?				Yes
4. If this request is	or Technology, has the D	epartment communica	ted with ITC, and does ITC support the	e request?			Yes
5. If this request is	or Building Improvement	s, has the Department	communicated with the Building Mai	ntenance (BM) division, and doe	s BM support the request?		Yes
6. If this request is	or a project involving des	sign/construction of pul	blic property other than building impr	ovements, has the Department of	communicated with DPW, and	does DPW support the	Not Applicable
request?							Not Applicable
7. If funded, will the	operating budget need	to be increased to cove	r operating expenses?				Yes
	s project lower the reque						No
9. If funded, will thi	s project require ongoing	assistance from vendo	rs at an additional expense to the Tov	vn which is NOT already budgete	ed?		No
10. Is specialized tra	aining or annual licensing	required that the Tow	n will need to pay in order to use the	asset?			No
11. Is this a project for which an Initial Eligibility Project Application can be filed with the Community Preservation Committee (CPC)?							
12. Is this a request in response to a Court, Federal, or State order?							
13. Is this a request	in response to a docume	ented public health or s	afety condition?				No
	to improve or make repa				···		Yes
			ded to be permanently installed at the				No
			which is NOT a building or infrastruct	ure?			No
17. Will any other of	epartment be required to	o provide assistance in	order to complete the project?		_		Yes
18. If funded, will the	nis project increase the o	perating expense for ar	ny other department?				Yes
19. If funded, will a	dditional permanent staf	f be required?					No
Total New FTE's:	0			Project Description	on and Considerations		
Project Budget Eler	nents	Project Budget	The 2005 Facilities Master Pla	n indicated that the Emery	Grover School Administ	ration Building is in	need of
Planning/Feasibility	1		additional office and storage	•		_	
Design/Engineering		\$2,124,700	renovation includes reorganiz	•	•	•	
Land/ROW Acquisit	ion		remaining asbestos and lead				_
Site Preparation		*45.055.300	plumbing. These renovations			-	
Construction		\$15,865,200	floors and full handicapped a		•		
Construction Mana Equipment	Rement	\$158,100	moors and run namucapped a	cccssibility. Littlety Grover	is on the National Negist	e. or matoric bullur	1163.
Furniture, Fixtures,	and Equipment	\$870,000	See below for additional info	mation			
Technology		4 2.2,3 00	See below to additional little	madon.			
Other Expenses		\$1,878,500					
TOTAL		\$20,896,500					

Project Title: Renovate/Reconstruct Emery Grover Building at Highland Avenue Location

Fiscal Year:

2022

Supplemental Information

This request is for the renovation of the existing Emery Grover building at its present location. The October 2018 Special Town Meetinggapproved \$130,000 in funding for feasibility design. That study, which is expected to be completed by April - June 2020, will evaluate the various alternatives for completing this project, including: 1) full renovation and addition; 2) complete demolition and new construction; 3) preservation of one or more facades with new construction behind and 4) the sale and relocation of School District administration to leased/purchased space. The study also will determine the structure's suitability for school needs, will provide recommendations for programmatic or zoning changes, will address temporary relocation requirements and cost, and will identify how each alternative is categorized with regard to CPA funding and any required local, state and national approvals.

A preliminary budget and schedule for a renovation project are presented below, based on a pre-feasibility study performed in 2013 by DesignLAB Architects. The budget assumes that the building will be reconstructed at its current location and that Community Preservation Act funding will cover approximately 67% of construction and related soft costs. It also includes funds to temporarily re-locate staff to leased swing space during construction. The construction schedule, identified below, would be delayed by approximately one year if full demolition of the existing structure were required.

Preliminary Project Schedule:

Pre-Feasibility Study: FY14

Feasibility Study Funding: FY19 (Oct '18 STM) Feasibility Study: FY20 (Jan '19 - April '20) Design Funding: FY22 (May '21 ATM)

Detailed Design: FY22-FY23 (Jun '21 - Dec '22) Construction Funding: FY23 (Oct '22 STM)

Bidding: Jan '23 - May '23

Emery Grover Occupies Swing Space: FY23-FY25 (June '23 - July '25)

New Building Opens: (FY26) July '25

Project Budget:

The above referenced project budget excludes \$30,000 approved at May 2013 Annual Town Meeting and \$130,000 provided by the October 2018 Special Town Meeting for feasibility design. The "Other Expenses" category includes \$1,669,200 to occupy leased swing space for one year, while the building is being renovated, plus \$70,100 in legal expense. If, alternatively, the modernized Hillside School is used as swing space, the project budget could be reduced by the lease expense. (The project to modernize Hillside for use as swing space is presented separately.) All costs include escalation to the mid-point of construction, using 6%/year (FY14-FY16), 5.0%/year (FY17-18), 10.0%/year (FY19-20), and 8%/year thereafter.

This project is revised from the previous request to push the completion date back one year, to reflect the anticipated completion of the Emery Grover Feasibility study in Spring 2020 and the School Master Plan study in June 2020, the final recommendations from which will shape the final scope and budget for this project. According to the PPBC, it is possible that the final design budget may need to include 2% construction management expense (compared to 1%), but that adjustment is not reflected above, in order to preserve the integrity of the source information.

Fiscal Year:

2022

Project Title: Renovate/Reconstruct Emery Grover Building at Highland Avenue Location

Parameters Addressed:

Project Costs Not Included: Prior year feasibility studies. (See Project Budget narrative above.)

Permitting: As required by Town Boards.

Technology: The School Instructional Technology Department is in support of this request. The estimated project cost includes an FF&E budget for this new facility, including administrative technology.

Building Improvements: The PPBC and Public Facilities Department support this request.

Operating Budget Increase: Improvements to HVAC, electical system may increase building maintenance expense by more than \$100,000/year. This placeholder estimate to be revised during design.

CPC: Funding application to be submitted, if historic preservation is part of the final project scope.

Extend Useful Life: Yes, see above narrative.

Other Departmental Assistance: PPBC Project Management

Operating Budget Increase: Improvements to HVAC, electical system may increase building maintenance expense by more than \$100,000/year. This placeholder estimate to be revised during design process.

Project Budget Detail:

Emery Grover Renovation Cost Estimated, Based on 2013 DesignLab Study

	24 225 CF Duilding	Espaibile.	Construction	A/E	Constr Mant	Tomporane	FF&E	Other	Total	Cost/SF	@ 67%	Net Cost
	21,235 SF Building	Feasibilty	Construction	A/E	Constr Mgnt	Temporary	FFOLE	Other	Iotai	COSL/ SF	@ 67 76	Net Cost
	FY13 Project Cost (DesignLab)		7,339,550	982,906	73,120	834,000	402,500	35,000	9,667,076		8,350,000	1,317,076
	TOTAL	-	7,339,550	982,906	73,120	834,000	402,500	35,000	9,667,076	\$455	8,350,000	1,317,076
		0%	76%	10%	1%	9%	4%	0%	100%			
6.00%	FY14 Cost Multiplier @ 6%	-	7,779,923	1,041,880	77,507	884,040	426,650	37,100	10,247,101	\$483	8,350,000	1,897,101
6.00%	FY15 Cost Multiplier @ 6%	-	8,246,718	1,104,393	82,158	937,082	452,249	39,326	10,861,927	\$512	8,350,000	2,511,927
6.00%	FY16 Cost Multiplier @ 6%	•	8,741,521	1,170,657	87,087	993,307	479,384	41,686	11,513,642	\$542	8,350,000	3,163,642
5.00%	FY17 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	-	9,178,598	1,229,190	91,441	1,042,973	503,353	43,770	12,089,324	\$569	8,350,000	3,739,324
5.00%	FY18 Cost Multiplier @ 5%	-	9,637,527	1,290,649	96,014	1,095,121	528,521	45,958	12,693,791	\$598	8,350,000	4,343,791
10.00%	FY19 Cost Multiplier @ 10%	-	10,601,280	1,419,714	105,615	1,204,633	581,373	50,554	13,963,170	\$658	8,350,000	5,613,170
10.00%	FY20 Cost Multiplier @ 10%	-	11,661,408	1,561,685	116,176	1,325,097	639,510	55,610	15,359,487	\$723	8,350,000	7,009,487
8.00%	FY21 Cost Multiplier @ 8%	-	12,594,321	1,686,620	125,470	1,431,105	690,671	60,058	16,588,245	\$781	8,350,000	8,238,245
8.00%	FY22 Cost Multiplier @ 8%	-	13,601,867	1,821,550	135,508	1,545,593	745,925	64,863	17,915,305	\$844	8,350,000	9,565,305
8.00%	FY23 Cost Multiplier @ 8%	-	14,690,016	1,967,274	146,349	1,669,240	805,599	70,052	19,348,529	\$911	8,350,000	10,998,529
8.00%	FY24 Cost Multiplier @ 8%		15,865,217	2,124,656	158,057	1,802,780	870,047	75,656	20,896,412	<u>\$984</u>	8,350,000	12,546,412
11	TOTAL PROJECT COST	-	15,865,217	2,124,656	158,057	1,802,780	870,047	75,656	20,896,412	984	8,350,000	12,546,412
	TOTAL COST (ROUNDED)) -	15,865,200	2,124,700	158,100	1,802,800	870,000	75,700	20,896,500	\$984	8,350,000	12,546,500

Square Footage

21,235

Note - costs escalated at rates shown abaove, to midpoint of construction (FY23). FY14 & FY19 are feasibility funding years.

		Oct '18 STM	May '21 ATM	Oct '22 STM	FY14-22
Project Funding Schedule	FY14	FY19	FY22	FY23	Total
Pre-Design	30.000	130,000			•

				School D	epartment Ca	pital Project Request		
Project Title:	Renovate/Reconstruct E	mery Grover	Building at Hi	ighland Avenu	e Location		Fiscal Year:	2022
	Engineering & Design Construction Construction Management FF&E Other Total	0 30,000 —	130,000	2,124,700 158,100 	15,865,200 870,000 <u>1,878,500</u> 18,613,700 ibility Design:	2,124,700 15,865,200 158,100 870,000 1,878,500 20,896,500 160,000 21,056,500		

			Scho	ol Department Car	pital Project Request			
Project Title:	Pollard School Improv	ements	2000		,		Fiscal Year:	2028
Request Type:	Construction	Classification:	Building	Primary Purpose:	Public Education	Status:	Existing-Revised Pro	ject
Department:	Needham Public Schools			Useful Life:	More than 30 Years	Project Cost:		\$109,224,80
How was the Project	Cost Determined:	Hired Consultant		Budget Impact:	May increase annual operati	ng expenses by more than \$10	0,000	apti i Taki
		<u> </u>		<u>Parameters</u>				Response
1. Are there any cos	ts to bid, design, construc	ct, purchase, install, in	mplement, or otherw	ise complete the pro	ject which are NOT included is	this request?		Yes
2. Are there recomn	nendations or costs ident	ified by other departr	ments which are NOT	factored into the re	quest?			No
3. Does this project	require any permitting by	any Town or State ag	gency?					Yes
4. If this request is f	or Technology, has the De	epartment communic	ated with ITC, and do	es ITC support the r	equest?			Yes
5. If this request is f	or Building Improvements	s, has the Department	t communicated with	the Building Mainte	enance (BM) division, and doe	s BM support the request?		Yes
6. If this request is f	or a project involving desi	ign/construction of pu	ublic property other t	than building improv	ements, has the Department of	ommunicated with DPW, and	does DPW support the	Not Applicable
request?								Not Applicable
7. If funded, will the	operating budget need t	o be increased to cov	er operating expense	es?				Yes
	project lower the reques				<u></u>			No
9. If funded, will this	project require ongoing	assistance from vend	ors at an additional e	xpense to the Town	which is NOT already budgete	d?		No
10. Is specialized tra	ining or annual licensing	required that the Tow	vn will need to pay in	order to use the ass	et?			No
11. Is this a project	or which an Initial Eligibil	lity Project Application	n can be filed with th	e Community Preser	vation Committee (CPC)?			No
12. Is this a request in response to a Court, Federal, or State order?								No
13. Is this a request	in response to a docume	nted public health or	safety condition?					No
14. Is this a request	to improve or make repa	irs to extend the usef	ul life of a building?		<u>.</u>			Yes
15. Is this a request	to purchase apparatus/e	quipment that is inter	nded to be permaner	ntly installed at the lo	ocation of its use?			No
16. Is this a request	to repair or otherwise im	prove public property	y which is NOT a build	ding or infrastructure	e?			No
17. Will any other d	epartment be required to	provide assistance in	order to complete t	he project?				Yes
18. If funded, will th	is project increase the op	perating expense for a	ny other department	t?				Yes
19. If funded, will a	ditional permanent staff	be required?						No
Total New FTE's:	0				Project Description	n and Considerations		
Project Budget Elen	ents	Project Budget	In 2011. a facili	ities assessment	was conducted of the Mi	tchell, Hillside and Pollard	d Schools. This asse	essment
Planning/Feasibility		\$650,000	i i			xtend the useful life of th		
Design/Engineering		\$14,975,800	· ·			tic improvements at the	_	
Land/ROW Acquisit	on		1	•	• -	ng" environment. This en		
Site Preparation			1		-	_		•
Construction		\$86,111,000	•			luding technology integra		•
Construction Manag	ement	\$3,744,000		•	•	ial education delivery me		•
Equipment						luded that a detailed pro		
Furniture, Fixtures,	and Equipment		1		• • •	ic improvements needed		•
Technology		A	renovation/im	provement proje	ct be comprehensive end	ough to meet both the fac	ility maintenance a	ınd
Other Expenses		\$3,744,000	4 programmatic	needs of the sch	ool for the next several d	ecades.		
TOTAL		\$109,224,800	<u> </u>					

Project Title: Pollard School Improvements

Supplemental Information

2028

Fiscal Year:

Many of the improvements identified in the facilities assessment have been, or will be, completed through the regular maintenance program. These include: bathroom renovation; interior door replacement; installation of LED exist signs; roof repair; installation of new gas main on Harris Ave.; replacement of the telephone, PA and clock systems; replacement of the paved walkway from the parking lot to the building; water fountain replacement; demolition of the condemned bridge; auditorium seating replacement; gym flooring replacement; removal of remaining VCT aand VAT flooring in the 1958 wing; water heater replacement; removal and abatement of the fuel oil tank; boiler replacement; classroom lighting replacement; asbestos abeatement.

Other identified improvements, however, will not be undertaken as part of the regular maintenance budget. These include: renovation and enlargement of the science and engineering classrooms, updates to the auditorium and reconfiguration of the administration area. The science classrooms are undersized from Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) standards, do not have adequate prep rooms or storage spaces and include casework and plumbing fixtures that are in poor condition. The engineering classroom is a converted space that is not well-suited to delivery of the curriculum. The auditorium needs updating, including sound and lighting upgrades, in order to remain a suitable space for performing arts, guest lectures and assemblies. In addition, the administration space, which is located on the side of the building, is difficult for visitors to locate, doesn't allow school personnel to view visitors as they approach the building and is undersized by MSBA standards. Finally, the modular classrooms, constructed in 2002, are not designed as permanent, long-term facilities. They are constructed of inexpensive materials, in fast-production style construction techniques and are not energy efficient. A long-term solution will be required within the next fifteen years. (The expected lifespan for modular classrooms is 20-25 years.)

This request is for funds to repair/renovate the Pollard Middle School to address identified deficiencies and meet programmatic needs. The projected cost was developed by Needham Public Schools, based on improvements identified in the Condition Assessment, which Public Facilities does NOT expect to undertake from its regular maintenance budget. These projects include the following: Replace Doors (#1.03 \$113,880), Renovate Exit Corridors Near Band Room (#1.17 \$131,400), Remove Borrowed Lights in 1958 Building (#2.02, \$47,085), Sprinkler Remaining Building (#2.03, \$1,620,600), New Science Classroom Addition Option 3 (#3.01.3, \$6,745,200), Relocate Administration & Convert Existing Administration to Classroom (#3.02, \$1,423,500), Renovate Multi-Stall Toilet Rooms Near Auditorium (#3.03, \$952,650), Renovate Auditorium (HVAC, Light, Flooring, Seating) (#3.08, \$2,392.575), Replace Existing Signage (#4.05, \$4,380), Upgrade Elevator Controls (#4.07, \$43,800), Accessibility Improvements to Choral Room & Lecture Hall (#4.08, \$19,710), Sell or Demolish Modular Classrooms (#5.1, \$9,855), Replace Water Distribution Piping (#5.15, \$416,100), Replace Classroom Sinks (#5.17, \$76,650), Remove Pneumatic Control System & Replace with Electronic (#5.19, \$697,515), Replace Classroom Unit Ventilators & Repairs to Roof (#5.21, \$660,285), In Core & Assembly Areas & Replace with VAV Heat/Cool RTUs (#5.22, \$1,018,350), Electrical Service Upgrade (#5.24, \$996,450), Replace Fire Alarm Control Panels (#5.25, \$48,180), Replace PA System Head End (#5.26, \$52,000), New Site Drainage Structures & Pipe (#5.28, \$181,770), and Provide New Server & Water Line Connections (#5.29, \$153,300.) The cost of item #3.01.3 is based on "Science Option 3," which constructs a 14,500 s.f. science wing addition to the school. A Statement of Interest will be filed with the MSBA to initiate a dialog about this facility. It is possible that a modified project scope could be considered. Final decisions will be made upon project acceptance and a feasibility study, c

Preliminary Project Schedule:

Feasibility Study: FY28

Schematic Design/Project Funding Year: FY29

Pollard Moves to Swing Space: FY30

Construction: FY30-31

Project Title: Pollard School Improvements

Renovated School Opens: September 2031 (FY32)

Fiscal Year:

2028

Preliminary Budget:

Project costs based on Dore & Whittier Facilities Assessment, 2014. The total project cost is updated to reflect the following cost escalator factors:6%/year (FY14-FY16), 5.0%/year (FY17-18), 10.0%/year (FY19-20), and 8%/year thereafter. The preliminary MSBA reimbusement rate for this project is 32.47%.

Parameters Addressed:

Project Costs Not Included: See Project Budget narrative above.

Permitting: As required by Town Boards.

Building Improvements: The PPBC and Public Facilities Department support this request.

Operating Budget Increase: Improvements to HVAC, electical system may increase building maintenance expense by more than \$100,000/year. This placeholder

estimate to be revised during design process.

Extend Useful Life: See above narrative.

Other Departmental Assistance: PPBC Project Management; Public Facilities Maintenance & Custodial.

Operating Budget Increase for Another Department: See above.

This project is revised from the previous request to push the project time table back one year, to reflect the anticipated completion of the School Master Plan study in June 2020, the final recommendations from which will shape the final scope and budget for this project. According to the PPBC, it is possible that the final design budget may need to include 2% construction management expense (compared to 1%), but that adjustment is not reflected above, in order to preserve the integrity of the source information.

Project Budget Detail:

Pollard Improvements Dore & Whittier, Comprehensive Facilities Assessment, 2014

	Construction
From Condition Assessment - Pollard Long-Term Improvements	
1.03 Replace Corridor/Doors w Fire Rated Doors	113,880
1.17 Renovate Exit Corridors Near Band Room	131,400
2.02 Remove Borrowed Lites in 1958 Building	47,085
2.03 Sprinkler Remaining Building	1,620,600
3.01.3 New Science Classroom Addition (Option 3)	6,745,200
3.02 Relocate Admin, Convert Existing Admin to Classrooms	1,423,500
3.03 Multi-Stall Toilet Rooms Near Auditorium	952,650
3.08 Renovate Auditorium AHVAC, Lighting, Flooring, Seating	2,392,575
4.05 Replace Existing Signage	4,380
4.07 Upgrade Elevator Controls	43,800

Assume

Feasiblity - 1 year Design - 1 year Construction - 2 Years

<u>Assume</u>

Feasiblity Funding Year - FY28
Project Funding Year - FY29

Midpt of Constr - FY30 (15 Years Escalation)

School Depart	tment Capital Projec	ct Request		
Project Title: Pollard School Improvements			Fiscal Year:	2028
4.08 Accessibility Improvements to Choral Room & Lecture Hall	19,710			
5.1 Sell or Demolish Modular Classrooms; Regrade Area	9,855			
5.15 Replace Water Distribution Piping	416,100			1
5.17 Replace Classrom Sinks	76,650			į
5.19 Remove Pneumatic Control System & Replace with Electronic	697,515			İ
5.21 Replace Classroom Unit Ventilators, Repairs to Roof	660,285			
5.22 In Core & Assembly Areas, Replace with VAV Heat/Cool RTUs	1,018,350			İ
5.24 Electrical Service Upgrade	996,450			
5.25 Replace Fire Alarm Control Panels	48,180			Ī
5.26 Replace PA System Head End	52,000			
5.28 New Site Drainage Structures & Pipe	181,770			I
5.29 Provide New Server & Water Line Connections	153,300			
Subtotal Condition Assessment Cost	17,805,235			
General Conditions Allowance	1,780,524	10% of construction	6.00% FY15 & FY16	
Escalation to Mid Point of Construction	43,794,448	15 Yrs to 2030	5.00% FY17 & FY18	
Subtotal A Construction	63,380,206		10.00% FY19 & FY20	
Subtotal A Construction	05,500,200		8.00% After FY20	
Bonds	633,802	1% of Subtotal A	0.00 /0 /	
Insurance	•	1% of Subtotal A		
	64,647,811			
Subtotal B Construction	04,047,011			
Fee	534,157	3% of Condition Assessment Cost		***
Design & Pricing	9,697,172	15% of Subtotal B		
Total Construction Cost	74,879,139			
	44 004 074	450/ of Total Construction		
Project Contingency - Construction		15% of Total Construction		
Project Contingency - Owner		5% of Total Construction		
Subtotal Contingency	14,975,828			
Soft Cost (OPM, A/E, Survey, etc)	18,719,785	25% of Total Construction		
FF&E				
Total	108,574,752			
_Summary				
Construction Cost	74,879,139			İ
Project Contingency	14,975,828			İ
Soft Cost	18,719,785			
FF&E				ĺ
	100 574 753	(Evaluding Eggsibility)		
Total	108,3/4,/52	(Excluding Feasibility)		

	Sc	hool Department Capital Projec	t Request		
ject Title:	Pollard School Improvements			Fiscal Year:	2028
,	·	Total	Rounded Total		
	Feasibility	650,000	650,000		
	Schematic Design	14,975,828	14,975,800		
	Construction	86,111,010	86,111,000		
	Owners Project Contingency (Other)	3,743,957	3,744,000		
	Construction Management	3,743,957	3,744,000		
	Total	109,224,752	109,224,800		